Domain		RRAP
which include	no. for the question s the observation he RMGC internal	8
question whic	ification no. for the h includes the lentified by the RMGC	Alba Iulia, 31.07.2006
RMGC interna	l unique code	MMGA_0042
Proposal	area and that	It of the prejudice caused by the fact that Rosia Montana area has been declared an industr bans the initiation of any other business incompatible with the mining project. station enclosed in copy.
	locality, as th smaller 5% of form through Studies were r	on of an industrial area in part of Roșia Montană does not limit business development in t e industrial area (or "project footprint") is limited to 25% of Roșia Montană, and an ev f the area including Câmpeni, Roșia Montană and Abrud. Businesses of all kinds are free the normal means of permitting and registration with local authorities. made by the relevant governmental authorities when the area was designated "disadvantag to enable investment in the area, which was the case of the Roșia Montană Project.
Solution	The alteration legal requirem (i) art 6 (1) the buil processin resourced	n of the urbanism plans and the designation of an industrial area for RMP is a mandate

Domain MMDD's item no. for the question which includes the observation identified by the RMGC internal code		RRAP	
		9	
question which	fication no. for the i includes the entified by the RMGC	Rosia Montana, 24.07.2006	
RMGC internal	unique code	MMGA_0062	
Proposal		er makes the following remarks and comments:She is disturbed by the resettlement of those She doesn't find it normal to have the graveyards and churches resettled.	
	be a very str development Contrary to v graveyards. To will be affecte	are only 6 cemeteries that will be affected by the project. In the case of any grave, there must ong reason for that grave to be removed. The communities have created during their initial rules, later turned into laws that deal with this unfortunate event. what the opponents of the mining project claim, no one wants to destroy churches or o put the number of graves in context, only 410 graves of the Roşia Montană's 1905 graves d by the mining project, as the company has to the maximum extent possible designed the ions to leave established graveyards in place.	
	to the letter	rill be done at the request of the families, and the expense of RMGC. The process will follow Romanian law on reburials [1] with the company's commitment to act with respect and andoned graves will be relocated, also with full respect and reverence, to Piatra Alba's new	
	footprint mus with the wish	and two prayer houses out of a total of 10 places of worship located within the project's t be relocated or restored under the mine plan. Those churches will be moved in accordance es of the congregation, at the expense of RMGC. Churches construction is a central element nmunity of Piatra Albă being built by the company.	
Solution	<ul> <li>(i) Law no. the Rom</li> <li>(ii) Law no. the Rom supplem</li> <li>(iii) The hygi in the R supplem</li> <li>(iv) GD no. S public se the Rom</li> <li>(v) Order no the crem</li> <li>Rules for the</li> </ul>	tion of graves and cemeteries is governed by the following regulatory acts: 489/2006 on the freedom of religion and the general regime of religious affairs, published in anian Official Gazette, Section I, no. 11/08.01.2007; 98/1994 establishing and sanctioning breaches of the hygiene and public health rules, published in hanian Official Gazette, Section I, no. 317/16.11.1994, as subsequently amended and ented ("Law no. 98/1994"); tene norms and recommendations concerning the population's life environment, published comanian Official Gazette, Section I, no. 140/03.07.1997, as subsequently amended and ented ("Order 536/1997"); 055/2004 on the approval of the framework Rules for the organization and operation of the ervices for the administration of the public and private domain of local interest, published in anian Official Gazette, Section I, no. 660/22.07.2004; 0. 261/1982 on the approval of the standard Rules for the administration of graveyards and hatories of the localities, published in the Official Gazette no. 67/11.03.1983; organization and operation of the parish and monastery graveyards within the eparchies of n Orthodox Church, approved by Decision of the Religious Affairs Department no. 1981	

Domain		RRAP
MMDD's item no. for the question which includes the observation identified by the RMGC internal code		17
MMDD's identifica question which in observation identi internal code	cludes the	Rosia Montana, 24.07.2006
RMGC internal uni	que code	MMGA_0091
Proposal	Many churches generations?	s and graveyards will be resettled. In what can we still believe? What can we offer to future
Solution	deal with this continue a way RMGC's propo Montană's der villages in the economic oppo Contrary to w graveyards. To be affected by mining operati All reburials wi to the letter F reverence. Ab- cemetery. Two churches footprint, mus with the vishe in the new com The idea of th responsible mi a responsible environment, p development o Through the co and cultural se office, bank, commercial are the region, inr children. On the other h more than 13 developed), wh ethnography, a Catălina Monu tourism (board Mare, Tăul Br	ry communities have created during their development rules, later turned into laws that unfortunate event. What the RMP project offers to future generations is a chance to of life in a village where that future – with 70% unemployment today, rising above 90% if sed mine is not allowed to proceed – would be very much in doubt. In the event of Roşia mise, the graves and churches there would likely be left behind, as in other abandoned Romanian countryside. Development of the RMP will keep the village alive and bring rutunity to the region. that the opponents of the mining project claim, no one wants to destroy churches or put the number of graves in context, only 410 graves of Roşia Montana's 1905 graves will the mining project, as the company has to the maximum extent possible designed the ons to leave established graveyards in place. ill be done at the request of the families, and the expense of RMGC. The process will follow tomanian law on reburials [1], with the company's commitment to act with respect and andoned graves will be relocated, also with full respect and reverence, to Piatra Alba's new and two prayer houses out of a total of ten places of worship, located within the project's to be relocated or restored under the mine plan. Those churches will be moved in accordance s of the congregation, at the expense of RMGC. Churches construction is a central element munity of Piatra Alba being built by the company. this project may not be deemed as antichristian, as long as its main principle is that of ning. We believe that resources development is not an act against God, if it is performed in manner. This project provides to future generations not only jobs, but also a cleaner personal development opportunities, small enterprise support, and support provided for the of one of the most underdeveloped areas of Romania. onstruction of the Piatra Alba site we offer higher living standards and high quality social ervices. The Central Area of the site will include public buildings, town hall, police, post church,

## **References:**

[1] the relocation of graves and cemeteries is governed by the following regulatory acts:

- (i) Law no. 489/2006 *on the freedom of religion and the general regime of religious affairs*, published in the Romanian Official Gazette, Section I, no. 11/08.01.2007;
- (ii) Law no. 98/1994 establishing and sanctioning breaches of the hygiene and public health rules, published in the Romanian Official Gazette, Section I, no. 317/16.11.1994, as subsequently amended and supplemented ("Law no. 98/1994');
- (iii) The hygiene norms and recommendations concerning the population's life environment, published in the Romanian Official Gazette, Section I, no. 140/03.07.1997, as subsequently amended and supplemented ("Order 536/1997");
- (iv) GD no. 955/2004 on the approval of the framework Rules for the organization and operation of the public services for the administration of the public and private domain of local interest, published in the Romanian Official Gazette, Section I, no. 660/22.07.2004;
- (v) Order no. 261/1982 on the approval of the standard Rules for the administration of graveyards and the crematories of the localities, published in the Official Gazette no. 67/11.03.1983;
- (vi) Rules for the organization and operation of the parish and monastery graveyards within the eparchies of the Romanian Orthodox Church, approved by Decision of the Religious Affairs Department no. 16.285/31.12.1981.

Domain		RRAP		
MMDD's item no which includes t identified by the code		28		
question which i	cation no. for the includes the ntified by the RMGC	Rosia Montana, 24.07.2006		
RMGC internal u	nique code	MMGA_0112		
Proposal	How the hous	seholds from Corna valley of people who do not want to be relocated will be avoided?		
	RMGC's appr RMGC provic compliance w Action Plan de	ing the private property lands necessary for the development of Roşia Montană Project, roach is primarily based on the principle of a "willing seller-buyer basis". To this extent, ded fair compensation packages for the affected inhabitants of the impacted area, in full rith the World Bank policies in this field, as detailed in the Relocation and Resettlement eveloped by RMGC, which may be found on company's official website.		
		The company will seek options to redesign the mine plan to allow those owners to retain their property, unaffected by the mine.		
	In the Environmental Impact Assessment Report, Alternatives chapter, several alternatives are being considered, including different choices for the location of the tailing dam facilities, other than in Corna Valley.			
Solution	Of course it may prove, at the end of all of these efforts, that a very small number of property owners - perhaps a few families - will refuse to sell their holdings. At that point, the decision falls to Romanian relevant authorities as to whether they will exercise the legal instruments available to them to expropriate the properties. That decision will turn on whether a small number of people, perhaps a handful, should prevail (via a de facto veto power) over the majority will of local residents and public development interests as a whole to benefit from \$2.5 billion USD infused into Romania, much of it into a rural region that has been designated a "Disadvantaged Zone" and knows only extreme poverty at present.			
	Mention should be made that art. 6 of the Mining law no. 85/2003 expressly provides expropriation as one of the legal methods for a titleholder to acquire the usage right over the lands necessary for the development of mining activities in the exploitation perimeter.			
	of immovable j	Law no. 33/1994 on the expropriation for public utility cause provides that " <i>the <u>expropriation</u></i> property, [], <u>can be made only for cause of public utility</u> ", and art. 6 of the same law provides <u>the causes of public utility</u> : <u>geological exploration and prospecting</u> ; <u>extraction and processing of useful</u> <u>nces</u> ".		
		, the expropriation, in exchange of a fair and prior compensation, made in accordance with constitutional provisions, represents one of the modalities of obtaining the usage right over		

the lands necessary for the development of a mining project, being expressly provided by art. 6 of the Mining Law no. 85/2003 and by art. 6 of Law no. 33/1994.

Domain	RRAP
which include	es the observation the RMGC internal 29
question whic	itification no. for the ich includes the dentified by the RMGC Rosia Montana, 24.07.2006
RMGC interna	al unique code MMGA_0114
Proposal	The minor, a 6 year old, makes a comment with respect to the children of Rosia Montana, the future this commune.She is uttering her will to drink milk not cyanide. She states that she has 1sqr.m. of land the cetate and in Orlea and wants to know how the open pits will be mined in these locations?
	We believe that the children of Roșia Montană will have a far stronger future when their parents no long live in a community with 70% unemployment and suffer the health impacts of prior unremedied minir pollution.
6.1.4.	Owners of 1 square meter of land - a parcel so small it can only be of symbolic value - must make their ca to Romanian Government authorities that this is sufficient cause to stop a project that will infuse over th life of the project \$2.5 billion USD into Romania.
Solution	Moreover, in case the petitioner makes the proof of the ownership right over the 1 square meter land plo located within the perimeter of the exploitation concession license having RMGC as a titleholder, th titleholder benefits, inclusively in regard of this land plot, of the legal means to obtain usage right over th lands necessary for the development of the mining activities, in accordance with art. 6 of the Mining La no. 85/2003. Naturally, mining operations in the area can only start after the company has acquired usage right ov

Domain		RRAP
MMDD's item no. for the question which includes the observation identified by the RMGC internal code		30
question which	fication no. for the n includes the entified by the RMGC	Rosia Montana, 24.07.2006
RMGC internal	unique code	MMGA_0115
Proposal	to the resettle from Rosia N	er asks the following questions and makes the following comments and remarks:As regards ement program, he would like to know if the intention of RMGC is to expropriate churches Montana because the Holy Synod has stated on November 11, 2003 that its lands and not for sale.
Solution	<ul> <li>from Rosia Montana because the Holy Synod has stated on November 11, 2003 that its lat churches are not for sale.</li> <li>As the questioner notes, the comments by the Holy Synod date to 2003. Based on those comme Roşia Montană Project was redesigned to reduce impact on the churches in the community.</li> <li>Two churches and two prayer houses out of a total of 10 places of worship located within the plan true be relocated or restored under the mine plan. Those churches will be moved in acc with the wishes of the congregation, at the expense of RMGC. Churches construction is a central in the new community of Piatra Albā being built by the company.</li> <li>The fact is that 98% of people in the industrial zone of the village have scheduled surveys to asse property - a sign that they are considering the sale of their homes. We trust that if the con indicates its support of the RMP, the churches in the community will reflect the preferences congregations. The churches have followed the human communities providing them religious ser support.</li> <li>Mention should be made that art. 6 of the Mining law no. 85/2003 expressly provides expropri one of the legal methods for a titleholder to acquire the usage right over the lands necessary development of mining activities in the exploritation perimeter.</li> <li>Also, art. 1 of Law no. 33/1994 on the expropriation for public utility cause provides that "the <u>expro</u> of immovable property, [], <u>can be made only for cause of public utility</u>", and art. 6 of the same law p that "there are causes of public utility: geological exploration and prozesting extraction and processing mineral substances".</li> <li>In conclusion, the expropriation, in exchange of a fair and prior compensation, made in accordar the legal and constitutional provisions, represents one of the modalities of obtaining the usage rig the lands necessary for the development of a mining project, being expressly provided by art. 6</li> </ul>	

Domain		RRAP
MMDD's item no. for the question which includes the observation identified by the RMGC internal code		32
MMDD's identification no. for the question which includes the observation identified by the RMGC internal code		Rosia Montana, 24.07.2006
RMGC internal u	inique code	MMGA_0120
Proposal		may be relocated by using Lei 450-500 million paid by Gold, when in Cluj Napoca a studio sts between Lei 1.2 and 1.7 billion?
Solution	The formula by which property values are ascertained follows World Bank guidelines, and is based on replacement value within the same conditions. For the purposes of the Roşia Montană, replacement value was determined by surveying replacement costs in 14 real estate markets from a 250 km radius around Roşia Montană, and making an average. Cluj, while within the radius, is at the top end of the range, to expect valuations to conform to the Cluj market only would defeat the purposes of the average. By the same measure, it would have been inequitable and unfair to use the low end of the regional range - Zlatna, as it happens - to determine "replacement value." The average number for the 14 markets was judged the fairest way to assess replacement value. The current prices have been set taking the level of Alba-Iulia as the reference for the various categories of land and homes. During the last 6 months the prices have been revised twice based on the above mentioned type of survey.	

Domain		RRAP
MMDD's item no. for the question which includes the observation identified by the RMGC internal code		41
MMDD's identification no. for the question which includes the observation identified by the RMGC internal code		Abrud, 25.07.2006
RMGC interna	l unique code	MMGA_0137
Proposal	-	er supports investments in mining industry, but he would like to be made for the interest n government, of local communities, without area's depopulation and soul amputations ed.
	comuna. Roșia and approxim	nent of the new mine is confined to an area composed of only 4 of Roșia Montană's a Montană will not be depopulated; indeed the level of economic investment (563 direct jo ately 6,000 indirect jobs) will be a strong economic catalyst in an area currently experienci aployment (above 70%).
Solution		ul of the place, Roșia Montană stands a much stronger chance of building a vibrant futu mine as its economic engine than without it, as unemployment in the absence of RMGC w %.
	largely benefit	n Government, through Minvest, is already part of this mining development project and w t of this project through the taxation instruments. The local communities will be the scene velopment, given the market created by the mine during the operations.

Domain		RRAP
which include	no. for the question s the observation he RMGC internal	41
question whic	ification no. for the h includes the lentified by the RMGC	Abrud, 25.07.2006
RMGC interna	l unique code	MMGA_0139
Proposal	Where are the	410 graves from the impacted graveyards going to be relocated?
<ul> <li>The company understands community sensitivities on such issues. Romanian law establishes the imethods for exhumation of remains and reburial [1], and the company is pledged to follow those the letter. To put the number of graves in context, 410 graves of the Roşia Montana's 1,905 grave affected by the mining project, as the company has to the maximum extent possible designed the operations to leave established graveyards in place. Graves will be relocated according to the wish family and at RMGC's expense. Abandoned graves will be relocated, with full respect and rever Piatra Albā's new cemetery.</li> <li><b>References:</b> <ul> <li>[1] the relocation of graves and cemeteries is governed by the following regulatory acts:</li> <li>(i) Law no. 489/2006 on the freedom of religion and the general regime of religious affairs, pub the Romanian Official Gazette, Section I, no. 11/08.01.2007;</li> <li>(ii) Law no. 98/1994 establishing and sanctioning breaches of the hygiene and public heal published in the Romanian Official Gazette, Section I, no. 317/16.11.1994, as substamended and supplemented ("Law no. 98/1994');</li> <li>(iii) The hygiene norms and recommendations concerning the population's life environment, p in the Romanian Official Gazette, Section I, no. 140/03.07.1997, as subsequently amen supplemented ("Order 536/1997");</li> <li>(iv) GD no. 955/2004 on the approval of the framework Rules for the organization and operation public services for the administration of the public and private domain of local interest, pub the Romanian Official Gazette, Section I, no. 660/22.07.2004;</li> <li>(v) Order no. 261/1982 on the approval of the standard Rules for the administration of graves.</li> </ul></li></ul>		
	the crem (vi) Rules fo eparchie	natories of the localities, published in the Official Gazette no. 67/11.03.1983; or the organization and operation of the parish and monastery graveyards within the s of the Romanian Orthodox Church, approved by Decision of the Religious Affai ment no. 16.285/31.12.1981.

Domain		RRAP			
MMDD's item no. for the question which includes the observation identified by the RMGC internal code		51			
MMDD's identific question which i observation iden internal code		Abrud, 25.07.2006			
RMGC internal u	nique code	MMGA_0162			
Proposal	The questione their homes ar	r makes the following remarks and comments:There are families that do not want to resettle nd graves.			
	The project is support of the	not designed against the will of the community and has been developed so far with the community.			
	The property purchase program established by the company has been designed according to World Bank guidelines, and is based on a "willing seller, willing buyer" model, offering individual development opportunities and various support programs. To this extent, RMGC provided fair compensation packages for the affected inhabitants of the impacted area, in full compliance with the World Bank policies in this field, as detailed in the Relocation and Resettlement Action Plan developed by RMGC, which may be found on company's official website.				
	The company unaffected by	will seek options to redesign the mine plan to allow those owners to retain their property, the mine.			
Solution	Of course it may prove, at the end of all of these efforts, that a very small number of property owners - perhaps a few families - will refuse to sell their holdings. At that point, the decision falls to relevant Romanian authorities as to whether they will exercise the legal instruments available to them to expropriate the properties. That decision will turn on whether a small number of people, perhaps a handful, should prevail (via a de facto veto power) over the majority will of local residents and public development interests as a whole to benefit from \$2.5 billion USD in investment in a rural region that has been designated a "Disadvantaged Zone" and knows only extreme poverty at present.				
	one of the leg	ld be made that art. 6 of the Mining law no. 85/2003 expressly provides expropriation as gal methods for a titleholder to acquire the usage right over the lands necessary for the of mining activities in the exploitation perimeter.			
	Also, art. 1 of Law no. 33/1994 on the expropriation for public utility cause provides that "the <u>expropriation</u> of immovable property, [], <u>can be made only for cause of public utility</u> ", and art. 6 of the same law provides that " <u>there are causes of public utility</u> : <u>geological exploration and prospecting</u> ; <u>extraction and processing of useful mineral substances</u> ".				
	the legal and c the lands nece	the expropriation, in exchange of a fair and prior compensation, made in accordance with constitutional provisions, represents one of the modalities of obtaining the usage right over essary for the development of a mining project, being expressly provided by art. 6 of the p. 85/2003 and by art. 6 of Law no. 33/1994.			
	that future – w to proceed – w there would li	P project offers to future generations is a chance to continue a way of life in a village where with 70% unemployment today, rising above 90% if RMGC's proposed mine is not allowed rould be very much in doubt. In the event of Roșia Montană's demise, the graves and homes kely be left behind, as in other abandoned mining villages in the Romanian countryside. of the RMP will keep the village alive – in fact and in spirit - and bring economic opportunity			

Domain		RRAP
MMDD's item no. for the question which includes the observation identified by the RMGC internal code		76
question whic	ification no. for the h includes the entified by the RMGC	Campeni, 26.07.2006
RMGC internal	unique code	MMGA_0191
Proposal	Romanian Chu decided that t	er wants to learn how the project is going to be achieved, because both the Holy Synod o urch and all Episcopates: Roman Catholic, Greek Catholic, Unitarian and Calvinist ones, hav hey will not sell their properties as long as a parishioner of the respective faith exists at Rosis l the Project be achieved over locals?
		ts by the Holy Synod and other churches date to 2003. Based on those comments, the Roşi lect was redesigned to reduce impact on the churches in the community.
Solution	Two churches and two prayer houses out of a total of 10 places of worship located within the project's footprint must be relocated or restored under the mine plan. Those churches will be moved in accordance with the wishes of the congregation, at the expense of RMGC. Churches construction is a central elemen in the new community of Piatra Albă being built by the company.	
	property - a s indicates its s	at 98% of people in the industrial zone of the village have scheduled surveys to assess the sign that they are considering the sale of their properties. We trust that if the communit support of the RMP, the churches in the community will reflect the preferences of the s. The churches have followed the human communities providing them religious service an

Domain		RRAP		
which includes	no. for the question the observation ne RMGC internal	80		
question which	fication no. for the n includes the entified by the RMGC	Campeni, 26.07.2006		
RMGC internal	unique code	MMGA_0199		
Proposal	The questione Montana.	er would like to have his rights observed and he does not want to sell and leave from Rosia		
	necessary for for by art. 6 of land exchange newly granted convention be	wledges the property right of every person. All acquisitions of usage right over the properties RMP development are and will be done with the observance of the legal methods provided f the Mining Law no. 85/2003: (i) sale-purchase, for the price agreed upon by the parties; (ii) e, with the relocation of the affected owner and the reconstruction of the buildings on the d land, at the expense of the titleholder benefiting from the land released, as per the etween the parties; (iii) renting of the land for a fixed-term period, based on agreements arties; (iv) land concession, etc.		
		The company will also seek options to redesign the mine plan to allow this owner to retain his/her property, unaffected by the mine.		
	of Roșia Mont Roșia Montan In order to ac compliant wit lands necessar the principle c for the affecte field, as detai	ion and operation of the Roșia Montană Project requires the acquisition of properties in four cană's 16 sub-comuna. For the most part, therefore, property ownership in the larger part of ă will not be affected by the project. quire the necessary properties, the company has established a property purchase program h the RRAP guidelines developed by the World Bank. When acquiring the private property ry for the development of Roșia Montană Project, RMGC's approach is primarily based on of a "willing seller-buyer basis". To this extent, RMGC provided fair compensation packages ed inhabitants of the impacted area, in full compliance with the World Bank policies in this led in the Relocation and Resettlement Action Plan developed by RMGC, which may be company's official website.		
Solution	Accordingly, t mine in its fir	g project proceeds in phases, it is not necessary to acquire all properties at the outset. he company has focused on properties required for the construction and operation of the st five years. To date, more than 56% of the properties needed to construct the project and ine for the first five years have been acquired.		
	owners – a ste	Of those properties needed but not yet acquired, 98% have been so far presented for surveying by thei owners – a step that implies an interest in selling the property to the company. The survey rate suggest that little more than a handful of properties are held by people who might prove unwilling to entertain a sale.		
	For the near-t	number, some will lie in areas not needed for construction and early operation of the mine. term, therefore, owners of these properties need not prove any impediment to the mine and they can continue to live as they wish.		
	operation of t	smaller number of homes that are located in areas in which the construction and early he mine will take place, the company will seek options to redesign the mine plan to allow to retain their property, unaffected by the mine.		
	instruments a number of peo	the decision falls to Romanian relevant authorities as to whether they will exercise the legal vailable to them to expropriate the properties. That decision will turn on whether a small ople, perhaps a handful, should prevail (via a de facto veto power) over the majority will of and public development interests as a whole to benefit from \$2.5 billion USD infused into		

Romania, much of it into a rural region that has been designated a "Disadvantaged Zone" and knows only extreme poverty at present.

In this respect, mention should be made that art. 6 of the Mining Law no. 85/2003 expressly provides expropriation as one of the legal methods for a titleholder to acquire the usage right over the lands necessary for the development of the mining activities in the exploitation perimeter.

Also, art. 1 of Law no. 33/1994 on the expropriation for public utility cause provides that "the <u>expropriation</u> of immovable property, [...], <u>can be made only for cause of public utility</u>", and art. 6 of the same law provides that "<u>there are causes of public utility</u>: <u>geological exploration and prospecting</u>; <u>extraction and processing of useful</u> <u>mineral substances</u>".

In conclusion, the expropriation, in exchange of a fair and prior compensation, made in accordance with the legal and constitutional provisions, represents one of the modalities of obtaining usage right over the lands necessary for the development of a mining project, being expressly provided by art. 6 of the Mining Law no. 85/2003 and by art. 6 of Law no. 33/1994.

Domain		RRAP	
MMDD's item no. for the question which includes the observation identified by the RMGC internal code		81	
question whic	ification no. for the h includes the lentified by the RMGC	Campeni, 26.07.2006	
RMGC interna	l unique code	MMGA_0202	
Proposal	In order to acl Montana's lan	hieve its Project, RMGC must own 100% of the land and currently it only owns 17% of Rosiands.	
		RMGC must acquire usage right over 100% of the property in the mine project area, which the 16 sub-comuna in Roșia Montană.	
Solution	development, Law no. 85/20 relocation of t expense of th	is does not mean RMGC should own 100% of the lands necessary for the project but to acquire usage right over it, by any of the methods provided for by art. 6 of the Mining 003: (i) sale-purchase, for the price agreed upon by the parties; (ii) land exchange, with the the affected owner and the reconstruction of the buildings on the newly released land, on the te titleholder benefiting from the land released, as per the convention between the parties of the land for a fixed-term period, based on agreements between the parties; (iv) land te.	
	Presently, RM five years of c	is not necessary, to acquire usage right over all 100% prior to commencing the project IGC owns more than 56% of the properties required for the construction phase and the firs operation. The program through which properties are being purchased is designed in accord ank guidelines.	

Domain		RRAP	
MMDD's item no. for the question which includes the observation identified by the RMGC internal code		86	
MMDD's identification no. for the question which includes the observation identified by the RMGC internal code		Campeni, 26.07.2006	
RMGC internal	unique code	MMGA_0209	
Proposal	2000 Urbanis	er makes the following remarks and comments:The company claims that the alteration of th sm Plan affects only 25% of Rosia Montana, but this 25% represents exactly the percentag the Alburnus Maior's members.	
	There is no co	onnection between the 25% of Roșia Montană - 4 out of its 16 sub-comuna - that is affecte nd properties owned by supporters of Alburnus Maior who actually live in Roșia Montană.	
Solution	other inhabita (i) art no nea wh (ii) art an. en:	n of the urbanism plans was not made in consideration of Alburnus Maior supporters of ants of the area, but is a mandatory legal requirement as per: t 6 (1) of the GD no. 525/1996 for the approval of the General Urbanism Regulation ("G o. 525/1996") ("authorizing the building of permanent constructions, other than the facilitie cessary for the exploitation and processing of resources in the areas delineated according to the law hich contain identified underground resources, is forbidden") and ; t. 41 (2) of the Mining Law no. 85/2003 ("the county councils and the local councils will amen- id/or update the existing territory arrangement plans and the general urbanism plans, so as a sure the carrying out of all operations necessary for the development of the mining activities grante to concession").	

Domain		RRAP	
MMDD's item no. for the question which includes the observation identified by the RMGC internal code		88	
MMDD's identification no. for the question which includes the observation identified by the RMGC internal code		Campeni, 26.07.2006	
RMGC interna	l unique code	MMGA_0219	
Proposal	The questioner states the following remarks, comments, and questions: How many people are currently living at Piatra Alba, taking into account the fact that the relocation and resettlement plan has been initiated in 2002? She states that no one has chosen resettlement although the company claims that 20 families have been registered in this program, and that is not presented in the assessment study.		
Solution	Construction of the new Piatra Alba community is scheduled to begin in Summer 2007, with the exact date depending on approvals from the local authorities in Roșia Montană. While the number will grow as construction nears, thus far, 30 families have expressed so far interest in relocating to Piatra Albă (15 already signed the final contracts), which will combine modern amenities (including a new school, medical clinic, city hall, and recreational facilities) and traditional architectural designs.		

Domain		RRAP
MMDD's item no. for the question which includes the observation identified by the RMGC internal code		88
question which	fication no. for the i includes the entified by the RMGC	Campeni, 26.07.2006
RMGC internal	unique code	MMGA_0220
Proposal		company do with the rest of people: i.e. 20 individuals decide to leave for Piatra Alba and rest, up to 2158 individuals, do? Rosia Montana will remain without any inhabitants.
	without inhat Piatra Albă wi	e affects 4 of Roșia Montană's 16 sub-comuna, so the implication that the village will be bitants is incorrect - Roșia Montană's current population amounts to 3,900 inhabitants ill serve as home to many more than the comparably small number of families ( 30 so far ressed interest in living there at this point.
Solution	The people living in the Historical Area asked the company to buy their properties although this implies a massive financial effort without being needed by the development of the project. When the RMGC project commences and brings both direct and indirect employment to the Roşia Montană region, there will be a net inflow of people to the area – a sharp reversal of the de-population that has accompanied the area's decade-long decline.	

Domain	RRAP
which include	no. for the question es the observation 88 the RMGC internal
question whic	tification no. for the ch includes the dentified by the RMGC Campeni, 26.07.2006
RMGC interna	l unique code MMGA_0221
Proposal	The Community Management Plan does not take into account the fact that the population doeas not w to live at Piatra Alba and it has been prepared with no support. The population, who has decided to their properties, took its money and left as far as possible from Rosia Montana and that raises questi about the Project.
	Under the Resettlement and Relocation Action Plan, property sellers in Roșia Montană are free to reloc wherever they wish or to resettle near their old homes. The construction of Piatra Albă, which will begin Summer 2007, will add to their relocation options ( 30 options so far ). This was chosen among a num of alternatives and was the subject of a thorough analysis and consultation with the local authorities a the community representatives.
Solution	The Roșia Montană residents who have sold their houses to RMGC have moved for many reaso including to be closer to their relatives and to pursue opportunities in different towns or cities, at th convenience. It is not reasonable to claim that these residents' decisions raise questions about the mi- especially since the RMGC project is designed to meet and exceed E.U. standards and international b practice.
	Additionally, it is important to note that 12 of Roșia Montană's 16 sub-comuna will not be affected by project and that the chance for those who remain in the area to benefit from the new mine is consideral

Domain MMDD's item no. for the question which includes the observation identified by the RMGC internal code		RRAP
		152
question which	tification no. for the ch includes the dentified by the RMGC	Zlatna, 02.08.2006
RMGC interna	l unique code	MMGA_0321
Proposal	only if there t know if RMG private busine	the resettlement and relocation plan (Chapter 3.52 – 3.59) it won't resort to expropriation the company doesn't reach an amicable agreement with the owners. The questioner wants to C is replacing Government or it has people within the Government and if it considers that a tess with huge benefits for others is a public utility.
	Project requir	settlement issue in its larger context, the construction and operation of the Roșia Montană res the acquisition of properties in four of Roșia Montană's 16 sub-comuna. For the most e, property ownership in the larger part of Roșia Montană will not be affected by the project.
	compliant wit lands necessa the principle	cquire the necessary properties, the company has established a property purchase program the RRAP guidelines developed by the World Bank. When acquiring the private property ry for the development of Roșia Montană Project, RMGC's approach is primarily based on of a "willing seller-buyer basis". To this extent, RMGC provided fair compensation packages ed inhabitants of the impacted area, in full compliance with the World Bank policies and this field.
	Accordingly, t mine in its fir	ng project proceeds in phases, it is not necessary to acquire all properties at the outset. The company has focused on properties required for the construction and operation of the east five years. To date, more than 56% of the properties needed to construct the project and the first five years have been acquired.
	a step that in	perties needed but not yet acquired, 98% have been presented for surveying by their owners – aplies an interest in selling the property to the company. The survey rate suggests that little handful of properties are held by people who might prove unwilling to entertain a sale.
Solution	For the near-	number, some will lie in areas not needed for construction and early operation of the mine. term, therefore, owners of these properties need not prove any impediment to the mine and they can continue to live as they wish.
	operation of t	smaller number of homes that are located in areas in which the construction and early the mine will take place, the company will seek options to redesign the mine plan to allow to retain their property, unaffected by the mine.
	perhaps a fev Romanian au expropriate t handful, shou development	nay prove, at the end of all of these efforts, that a very small number of property owners - w families - will refuse to sell their holdings. At that point, the decision falls to relevant athorities as to whether they will exercise the legal instruments available to them to he properties. That decision will turn on whether a small number of people, perhaps a all prevail (via a de facto veto power) over the majority will of local residents and public interests as a whole to benefit from \$2.5 billion USD infused into Romania, much of it into a hat has been designated a "Disadvantaged Zone" and knows only extreme poverty at present.
	one of the le development expropriation <u>only for cause</u>	ald be made that art. 6 of the Mining law no. 85/2003 expressly provides expropriation as gal methods for a titleholder to acquire the usage right over the lands necessary for the of mining activities in the exploitation perimeter. Also, art. 1 of Law no. 33/1994 on the for public utility cause provides that "the <u>expropriation</u> of immovable property, [], <u>can be made of public utility</u> ", and art. 6 of the same law provides that " <u>there are causes of public utility</u> : pration and prospecting: extraction and processing of useful mineral substances".

In conclusion, the expropriation, in exchange of a fair and prior compensation, made in accordance with the legal and constitutional provisions, represents one of the modalities of obtaining usage right over the lands necessary for the development of a mining project, being expressly provided by art. 6 of the Mining Law no. 85/2003 and by art. 6 of Law no. 33/1994.

Domain		RRAP
MMDD's item no. for the question which includes the observation identified by the RMGC internal code		157
MMDD's identification no. for the question which includes the observation identified by the RMGC internal code		Zlatna, 02.08.2006
RMGC interna	l unique code	MMGA_0336
Proposal	The questioner wants to know if there is any procedure to be followed for someone who doesn't have properties in Rosia Montana but wants to build a house at Piatra Alba site.	
Solution	People interested in building at Piatra Albă should contact the local administration in Roșia Montană to seek approval for purchase of property and construction. While the company is designing and constructing the public areas of Piatra Albă, the local Romanian authorities determine how private individuals can purchase and build in the community.	

Domain		RRAP			
which includes	no. for the question the observation ne RMGC internal	189			
question which	fication no. for the n includes the entified by the RMGC	Cluj Napoca, 07.08.2006			
RMGC internal	unique code	MMGA_0365			
Proposal		nilies who do not agree with the resettlement from Rosia Montana and claim that they will ith it. What will happen with the project in this case?			
	The project is support of the	s not designed against the will of the community and has been developed so far with the e community.			
	RMGC's appr RMGC provid compliance w	ing the private property lands necessary for the development of Roşia Montană Project, roach is primarily based on the principle of a "willing seller-buyer basis". To this extent, ded fair compensation packages for the affected inhabitants of the impacted area, in full with the World Bank policies in this field, as detailed in the Relocation and Resettlement eveloped by RMGC, which may be found on company's official website.			
		g project proceeds in phases, it is not necessary to acquire all properties at the outset. the company has focused on properties required for the construction and operation of the st five years.			
	operation of t	smaller number of homes that are located in areas in which the construction and early the mine will take place, the company will seek options to redesign the mine plan to allow to retain their property, unaffected by the mine.			
Solution	Of course it may prove, at the end of all of these efforts, that a very small number of property owners - perhaps a few families - will refuse to sell their holdings. At that point, the decision falls to relevant Romanian authorities as to whether they will exercise the legal instruments available to them to expropriate the properties. That decision will turn on whether a small number of people, perhaps a handful, should prevail (via a de facto veto power) over the majority will of local residents and public development interests as a whole to benefit from \$2.5 billion USD infused into Romania, much of it into a rural region that has been designated a "Disadvantaged Zone" and knows only extreme poverty at present.				
	In this respect, mention should be made that art. 6 of the Mining law no. 85/2003 expressly provides expropriation as one of the legal methods for a titleholder to acquire the usage right over the lands necessary for the development of mining activities in the exploitation perimeter.				
	Also, art. 1 of Law no. 33/1994 on the expropriation for public utility cause provides that "the <u>expropriation</u> of immovable property [], <u>can be made only for cause of public utility</u> ", and art. 6 of the same law provides that " <u>there are causes of public utility</u> : <u>geological exploration and prospecting</u> ; <u>extraction and processing of useful mineral substances</u> ".				
	In conclusion	, the expropriation, in exchange of a fair and prior compensation, made in accordance with constitutional provisions, represents one of the modalities of obtaining the usage right over			

the lands necessary for the development of a mining project, being expressly provided by art. 6 of the Mining Law no. 85/2003 and by art. 6 of Law no. 33/1994.

Domain		RRAP
MMDD's item no. for the question which includes the observation identified by the RMGC internal code		197
question whic	ification no. for the h includes the lentified by the RMGC	Cluj Napoca, 07.08.2006
RMGC interna	l unique code	MMGA_0394
Proposal		n which the project is going to be developed belongs to the public or private domain of the commune and of its inhabitants?
	property hold	e with Romanian law, surface rights to construct the new mine must be acquired from lers. RMGC is currently acquiring those rights through its Resettlement and Relocatio RRAP), which was designed to comply with World Bank guidelines.
Solution	The ownership of some land needed stays with the local authority, either Public or Private Domains and for some other with the individuals. There are also other institutional owners as Remin Baia –Mare various denominations, Minvest Deva, Public Mail Service,etc.	
	Art. 6 of the Mining Law no. 85/2003 provides for different legal methods to acquire the usage right over the lands necessary for mining projects development, covering both public and private domain, as follows (i) sale-purchase, for the price agreed upon by the parties; (ii) land exchange, with the relocation of the affected owner and the reconstruction of the buildings on the newly granted land, on the expense of the titleholder benefiting from the land released, as per the convention between the parties; (iii) renting of the land for a fixed-term period, based on agreements between the parties, (iv) land concession, etc.	

Domain		RRAP	
MMDD's item no. for the question which includes the observation identified by the RMGC internal code		212	
MMDD's identification no. for the question which includes the observation identified by the RMGC internal code		Cluj Napoca, 07.08.2006	
RMGC interna	l unique code	MMGA_0427	
Proposal	The questione	er believes that impact on churches is, in fact the destruction of churches through relocation.	
	Contrary to w Roșia Montan	what the opponents of the mining project claim, no one wants to destroy the churches of ă.	
Solution	Two churches and two prayer houses out of a total of 10 places of worship located within the project's footprint must be relocated or restored under the mine plan. Those churches will be moved in accordance with the wishes of the congregation, at the expense of RMGC. Churches construction is a central element in the new community of Piatra Albă being built by the company.		
	that future - v proceed - wou likely be left b	P project offers to future generations is a chance to continue a way of life in a village where with 70% unemployment today, rising above 90% if RMGC's proposed mine is not allowed to ld be very much in doubt. In the event of Roşia Montană's demise, the churches there would behind, as in other abandoned mining villages in the Romanian countryside. Development of keep the village alive - in fact and in spirit - and bring economic opportunity to the region.	

Domain		RRAP	
which includes	to. for the question the observation te RMGC internal	221	
question which	fication no. for the i includes the entified by the RMGC	Cluj Napoca, 07.08.2006	
RMGC internal	unique code	MMGA_0449	
Proposal	If RMGC help	s to relocate 2000 locals from Rosia Motana, then for whom the project is made?	
Solution	residents in the mining project Roșia Montar This project development the most under So, the implice amounts 3,900 The people live massive finan serve as hom expressed inter and indirect e	ttlement and Relocation Action Plan (RRAP) is intended to help relocate and/or resettle he project impact area, which is limited to 4 of Roşia Montană's 16 sub-comuna. The RMGC tt brings new employment opportunities and other benefits to the remaining residents of hă and the surrounding areas as well as to those residents who relocate to Piatra Albă. provides to future generations not only jobs, but also a cleaner environment, personal opportunities, small enterprise support, and support provided for the development of one of erdeveloped areas of Romania. ation that the village will be without inhabitants is incorrect - Roşia Montană's population 0 inhabitants. ring in the Historical Area asked the company to buy their properties although this implies a cial effort without being needed by the development of the project. Likewise, Piatra Albă will be to many more than the comparably small number of families (30 so far) who have erest in living there at this point. When the RMGC project commences and brings both direct mployment to the Roşia Montană region, there will be a net inflow of people to the area - a of the de-population that has accompanied the area's decade-long decline.	
	cultural servic bank, church, entertainmen	construction of the Piatra Albă site we offer higher living standards, high quality social and tes. The Central Area of the site will include public buildings, town hall, police, post office, school, kindergarten, cultural center, museum, medical center, drug store, commercial areas, t and leisure areas or attractive areas for the development of tourism in the region, inn, a, sports field, gym hall, skating rink, football field, park, playgrounds for children.	

Domain		RRAP
MMDD's item no. for the question which includes the observation identified by the RMGC internal code		234
question which	tification no. for the ch includes the lentified by the RMGC	Cluj Napoca, 07.08.2006
RMGC interna	l unique code	MMGA_0473
Proposal	What is it und	derstood through direct and indirect impacts?
	of Order no. 8 report to the	of the Environmental Impact Assessment Report, drafted in accordance with the provisions 863/2002 - Annex II - Methodological Guide of the screening stage and of completion of the e assessment study - Part II The structure of the report to the environmental impact tudy, responds in detail to the question, by assessing both direct and indirect impact.
Solution	the impact in 4.3; Soil - Su Subchapter 4	t, please refer to EIA Report - Chapter 4 - Potential impacts, in which is thoroughly assessed relation to: Water - Subchapter 4.1; Air - Subchapter 4.2; Noise and Vibrations - Subchapter bchapter 4.4; Subsoil Geology - Subchapter 4.5; Biodiversity - Subchapter 4.6; Landscape - .7; Social and Economical Environment - Subchapter 4.8; Cultural and Ethnical Conditions - Subchapter 4.9 and Transport - Subchapter 4.10.
	mitigations, a project are div 1. Direct i displace 2. Indirect	e subchapters provides an overview of potential impacts (both direct and indirect), proposed and related management plans. For instance, the socio-economic impacts resulting from the vided and assessed based on two components: mpacts - Socio-economic impacts related with land acquisition (physical and economic ment), which are addressed in the Resettlement and Relocation Action Plan (RRAP); impacts - Socio-economic and environmental impacts not related with land acquisition, re addressed in the Community Sustainable Development Program.

Domain		RRAP
MMDD's item no. for the question which includes the observation identified by the RMGC internal code		234
MMDD's identification no. for the question which includes the observation identified by the RMGC internal code		Cluj Napoca, 07.08.2006
RMGC internal	l unique code	MMGA_0474
Proposal	relocation? To	oing to be directly or indirectly impacted if priests or the community won't agree with it purists will visit a church from a cyanides lake and they will be directly or indirectly impacte ing across this lake?
Solution	As there will r	not be a cyanide lake in Roșia Montană, the questioner need not fear for his or her safety, c the persons coming into the RMP impact area during the project's lifespan.
	The facts of the matter are that 2 churches and 2 prayer houses out of a total of 10 places of worshi located within the project's footprint must be relocated under the mine plan. Those churches will b moved in accordance with the wishes of the congregation, at the expense of RMGC. Church constructio is a central element in the new community of Piatra Albă being built by the company.	
	that future - v proceed - wou likely be left b	P project offers to future generations is a chance to continue a way of life in a locality when with 70% unemployment today, rising above 90% if RMGC's proposed mine is not allowed t ald be very much in doubt. In the event of Roşia Montană's demise, the churches there woul behind, as in other abandoned mining villages in the Romanian countryside. Development of keep the village alive - in fact and in spirit - and bring economic opportunity to the region.

Domain		RRAP	
MMDD's item no. for the question which includes the observation identified by the RMGC internal code		255	
MMDD's identification no. for the question which includes the observation identified by the RMGC internal code		Cluj Napoca, 07.08.2006	
RMGC interna	l unique code	MMGA_0531	
Proposal		r must buy also the lands on which the project is going to be developed not only the houses. this land is owned by the company?	
Solution	The questioner is correct that RMGC must acquire both the homes and the lands. Following guidelines set forth by the World Bank, RMGC is in the process of purchasing the property required to build and operate the mine.		
	However, this does not mean RMGC should own 100% of the lands necessary for the project development, but to acquire usage right over it, by any of the methods provided for by art. 6 of the Mining Law no. 85/2003: (i) sale-purchase, for the price agreed upon by the parties; (ii) land exchange, with the relocation of the affected owner and the reconstruction of the buildings on the newly granted land, on the expense of the titleholder benefiting from the land released, as per the convention between the parties; (ii) land for a fixed-term period, based on agreements between the parties; (iv) land concession, etc.		
	to construct a	ore than 56% of total number of properties and more than 60% of the total surface needed nd operate the mine has been acquired. The questioner can monitor RMGC's acquisition of s in the future by referring to new quarterly reports posted on the company's website.	

Domain		RRAP	
MMDD's item no. for the question which includes the observation identified by the RMGC internal code		262	
MMDD's identification no. for the question which includes the observation identified by the RMGC internal code		Cluj Napoca, 07.08.2006	
RMGC interna	l unique code	MMGA_0544	
Proposal	Carnic, Orlea,	The questioner makes the following observations and comments: The company has to develop 4 open pits Carnic, Orlea, Jig and Cetate, but the questioner owns the Carnic pit and 3ha of land in Orlea, therefore the company has to re-draft the project and resume the public consultations process.	
	It is true that	RMGC must acquire the properties necessary to develop the four pits.	
Solution	require all pro	project has been designed with this necessity in mind, and our multi-year project does not operties to be purchased at the onset. Because the project design anticipates these factors, it ry to redesign or to repeat the public consultation process.	
		no stated that comment actually can't own Cârnic area, as RMGC already acquired the usage rom the owner registered with the Land Book.	

Domain		RRAP
MMDD's item no. for the question which includes the observation identified by the RMGC internal code		272
MMDD's identification no. for the question which includes the observation identified by the RMGC internal code		Cluj Napoca, 07.08.2006
RMGC internal	unique code	MMGA_0576
Proposal	The questioner makes comments regarding the resettlement and states that she won't live Rosia Montana, the place where she was born. She won't leave the tombs where are buried her only child-dead at age 18- her husband, her brothers, parents and grand-parents. She doesn't want their tombs to be resettled, she wants them to remain for ever in the graveyard where they are buried.	
Solution	This questioner is known to the company, as a woman who lives in the Protected Area established by the project. Her loved ones burials are also placed in the Protected Area. As a result, she has not to leave her home, or to move the graves of her relatives.	

Domain	RRAP
MMDD's item no. for the question which includes the observation identified by the RMGC internal code	279
MMDD's identification no. for the question which includes the observation identified by the RMGC internal code	Cluj Napoca, 07.08.2006
RMGC internal unique code	MMGA_0595
Proposal people, but is annot take p ancestors are in the destruct	er makes the following comments:The questioner is not against projects that are beneficial to against the resettlement. The questioner stresses the fact that he cannot leave his house and part in the demolition of the church, in the destruction of the tombs where his parents and buried. The questioner points out the fact that he is a true Christian and he cannot take part ction of the mountains which are God's work!
the area he is	g statement of the comment is extremely relevant: when assessing the development needs of not against the mining project proposed by the company, although at the individual level, he e to leave the area.
designed: - indi	v has considered this approach and attitude when the properties acquisition strategy was vidual development opportunities; Il business compensation and financial support;
- prop lost; - scho	essional training and career development; perties replacement values compensation, including land restoring cost and eventual crop plarship; ttlement sites in both rural area ( Piatra Albă - Roșia Montană ) and urban one ( Furcilor Hill
- relo	ba Iulia ); cation / resettlement assistance for properties search, registration formalities, health care port, jobs search and training, small savings and investment assistance.
responsible n a responsible Solution environment	this project may not be deemed as antichristian, as long as its main principle is that of nining. We believe that resources development is not an act against God, if it is performed in e manner. This project provides to future generations not only jobs, but also a cleaner personal development opportunities, small enterprise support, and support provided for the of one of the most underdeveloped areas of Romania.
many Roman	igious concerns raised by the questioner, mining is a profession pursued for generations by ians of deep religious belief - indeed, in many rural Romanian graveyards, many gravestones her's pick on a Christian grave.
And contrary graveyards.	to what the opponents of the mining project claim, no one wants to destroy churches or
affected by th operations to the mine). All reburials to the letter	umber of graves in context, the vast majority of Roşia Montană's 1,905 graves will not be the mining project, as the company has to the maximum extent possible designed the mining to leave established graveyards in place. (410 graves need to be moved during the lifetime of will be done at the request of the families, and the expense of RMGC. The process will follow Romanian law on reburials [1], with the company's commitment to act with respect and bandoned graves will be relocated, also with full respect and reverence, to Piatra Albă's new
	ontană's 10 churches must be relocated under the mine plan. Those churches will be moved e with the wishes of the congregation, at the expense of RMGC. Church construction is a

central element in the new community of Piatra Albă being built by the company.

What the RMP project offers to future generations is a chance to continue a way of life in a village where that future – with 70% unemployment today, rising above 90% if RMGC's proposed mine is not allowed to proceed – would be very much in doubt. In the event of Roşia Montană's demise, the graves and churches there would likely be left behind, as in other abandoned mining villages in the Romanian countryside. Development of the RMP will keep the village alive - in fact and in spirit - and bring economic opportunity to the region and this will not impose the community to give up its spiritual values and traditions.

## **References:**

- [1] the relocation of graves and cemeteries is governed by the following regulatory acts:
- (i) Law no. 489/2006 on the freedom of religion and the general regime of religious affairs, published in the Romanian Official Gazette, Section I, no. 11/08.01.2007;
- (ii) Law no. 98/1994 establishing and sanctioning breaches of the hygiene and public health rules, published in the Romanian Official Gazette, Section I, no. 317/16.11.1994, as subsequently amended and supplemented ("Law no. 98/1994');
- (iii) The hygiene norms and recommendations concerning the population's life environment, published in the Romanian Official Gazette, Section I, no. 140/03.07.1997, as subsequently amended and supplemented ("Order 536/1997");
- (iv) GD no. 955/2004 on the approval of the framework Rules for the organization and operation of the public services for the administration of the public and private domain of local interest, published in the Romanian Official Gazette, Section I, no. 660/22.07.2004;
- (v) Order no. 261/1982 on the approval of the standard Rules for the administration of graveyards and the crematories of the localities, published in the Official Gazette no. 67/11.03.1983;
- (vi) Rules for the organization and operation of the parish and monastery graveyards within the eparchies of the Romanian Orthodox Church, approved by Decision of the Religious Affairs Department no. 16.285/31.12.1981.

Domain		RRAP
MMDD's item no. for the question which includes the observation identified by the RMGC internal code		292
MMDD's identification no. for the question which includes the observation identified by the RMGC internal code		Turda, 09.08.2006
RMGC internal	l unique code	MMGA_0620
Proposal	How can the resettlement?	y ignore so easily the opinion of the Church, which, as we well know, opposes th
Solution		s by the Holy Synod date to 2003. Based on those comments, the Roșia Montană Projec d to reduce impact on the churches in the community.
	As a result, only 2 of Roșia Montană's 10 churches must be relocated under the mine plan. Those churche will be moved/restored in accordance with the wishes of the congregations, at the expense of RMGC Churches construction is a central element in the new community of Piatra Albă being built by th company.	
	The fact is that 98% of people in the industrial zone of the village have scheduled surveys to assess their property - a sign that they are considering the sale of their homes. We trust that if the communit indicates its support of the RMP, the churches in the community will reflect the preferences of their congregations.	

Domain		RRAP
MMDD's item no. for the question which includes the observation identified by the RMGC internal code		292
MMDD's identification no. for the question which includes the observation identified by the RMGC internal code		Turda, 09.08.2006
RMGC internal unique code		MMGA_0621
Proposal	talking about	MGC buy houses in the protected area, houses that won't be impacted by the project, we a the patrimonial houses and other houses? They are in very poor condition because of th ed out by the company in the area.
Solution	Although not affected by the development of the RMGC proposed mining activities, the company has acquired a lot of properties in the protected area, as a result of the public consultation process. The people living in this part of the village asked the company to be given the opportunity to sell their properties to the company. The company has also bought houses classified as historical monuments which were in a poor state of conservation at the time of their acquisition. Furthermore, the condition of the houses in the village has not been negatively affected by the company but rather by years of economic decline in the Roşia Montană area. The company is developing a zonal urbanism plan for the Historical Center of Roşia Montană, which indicates for each and every listed house the refurbishment works that will be done by the company. Restoration works are currently underway for 11 such houses.	

Domain		RRAP	
MMDD's item no. for the question which includes the observation identified by the RMGC internal code		298	
MMDD's identification no. for the question which includes the observation identified by the RMGC internal code		Turda, 09.08.2006	
RMGC interna	l unique code	MMGA_0632	
Proposal		is allowed for agricultural works in the Piatra Alba area and how is it compared to the current ırface, where is it mentioned in the EIA, on what page? If it is not mentioned, then why?	
Solution	According to the relevant legal provisions, the interested public may submit justified proposals on the environment impact assessment. Art. 44 (2) of the Order no. 860/2002 on the Environment Impact Assessment Procedure and the issuance of the environmental approval provides to this end that <i>"based on the results of the public debate, the relevant authority for the environmental protection evaluates the grounded proposals/comments of the public and requests the titleholder the supplementation of the report to the environmental impact assessment study with an annex containing solutions for the solving of the underlined issues".</i>		
	The question of the attendant to the public consultations identifies and specifies no problems in regard of the project initiated by RMGC subject to the environmental impact assessment procedure, but requests information on Piatra Albă resettlement site, whose development is ongoing, and which is outside the requirements relating to the EIA document.		
	Nonetheless, considering RMGC has expressed its full availability to discuss any issues relevant for the proposed project, please note the following:		
	hectares in th plot, in keepii Albă is asked	g for Piatra Albă sets aside 60 hectares for agricultural development, outside of the 58 ne PUZ area. In addition, each property intended for housing includes a small agricultural ng with local tradition, right behind the house plot. Every party interested in living in Piatra to register their desire for agricultural land as well. The 60 hectars ( even more, if needed ) n agreement with the local administration of Roșia Montană, in order to meet this type of	

Domain		RRAP
which include	no. for the question s the observation he RMGC internal	298
MMDD's identification no. for the question which includes the observation identified by the RMGC internal code		Turda, 09.08.2006
RMGC interna	unique code	MMGA_0637
Proposal	needed for the does RMGC hol	tares has the company bought so far, apart from the 48% it owns, of the total surface development of the whole project, leaving aside the protected area? How many hecta ld out of the 1248 ha necessary for the project? Where is this aspect addressed in the El mentioned, then why?
	mine for its fin quarterly repor Methodological Part II (the stru	mpany has acquired more than 56% of the properties required to construct and operate t rst five years. As this percentage changes over time, it is addressed in the compan ts, available online, rather than in the EIA document. Order no. 863/2002 - Annex I I Guide of the screening stage and of completion of the report to the assessment stud ucture of the report to the environmental impact assessment study) which sets out t ructure of the EIA Report does not provide for such an information to be included in t
Solution	development, b Law no. 85/200 relocation of the expense of the	d be made that RMGC does not need to buy 100% of the lands necessary for the project to acquire usage right over it, by any of the methods provided for by art. 6 of the Mini D3: (i) sale-purchase, for the price agreed upon by the parties; (ii) land exchange, with t e affected owner and the reconstruction of the buildings on the newly granted land, on t titleholder benefiting from the land released, as per the convention between the partie the land for a fixed-term period, based on agreements between the parties; (iv) land
	In terms of lan purpose.	nd surface, the company has acquired more than 60% of the land required for the same

Domain		RRAP
MMDD's item no. for the question which includes the observation identified by the RMGC internal code		338
MMDD's identification no. for the question which includes the observation identified by the RMGC internal code		Lupsa, 16.08.2006
RMGC internal	unique code	MMGA_0696
Proposal	The four chur concrete block	rches that are to be resettled must be resettled as they are, they must not be replaced by chouses.
Solution	Only two churches - not four - must be relocated. The other two ones are prayer houses. They will rebuilt/restored according to the desires of the congregations with full respect and reverence. We wou also like to point out that we have designed and redesigned the project to ensure that none of Ros Montana's 41 historical structures will be affected. If the churches will be rebuilt, this will happen accordance with the specific technologies and materials.	

Domain		RRAP	
MMDD's item no. for the question which includes the observation identified by the RMGC internal code		340	
MMDD's identification no. for the question which includes the observation identified by the RMGC internal code		Lupsa, 16.08.2006	
RMGC internal	unique code	MMGA_0716	
Proposal		er would leave the area in exchange of a compensation paid by the company rather than b ollution and suffer because of the project.	
	private prope primarily base compensation	ontană eligible resident is free to sell his or her property to RMGC. When acquiring the erty lands necessary for the development of Roșia Montană Project, RMGC's approach ed on the principle of a "willing seller-buyer basis". To this extent, RMGC provided fa a packages for the affected inhabitants of the impacted area, in full compliance with the policies and guidelines in this field.	
Solution	However, the new project will remediate existing pollution (rather than create further pollution) as it will operate to the strongest E.U. standards and industry best practices. Should the seller choose to relocate to the new community of Piatra Albă, he or she will see Roșia Montană's environment become cleaner as a result of modern mining. We do not encourage the people living either under or outside the foot-print of the project to leave the area. The development opportunities created in the area by the company make the area attractive.		

Domain		RRAP	
which include	no. for the question 5 the observation he RMGC internal	341	
MMDD's identification no. for the question which includes the observation identified by the RMGC internal code		Lupsa, 16.08.2006	
RMGC interna	unique code	MMGA_0717	
Proposal	included in th neighboring ar	he fact that the miners raise animals, the questioner proposes that a veterinary clinic be al- he relocation project, a clinic that would be available to all the communities in the rea and where both large-size animals and the small ones be treated. r considers that this measure would be beneficial to the community.	
	environment i Assessment Pr the results of th proposals/comm	the relevant legal provisions, the interested public may submit justified proposals on the impact assessment. Art. 44 (3) of the Order no. 860/2002 on the Environment Impact cocedure and the issuance of the environmental approval provides to this end that <i>"based the public debate, the relevant authority for the environmental protection evaluates the ground ments of the public and requests the titleholder the supplementation of the report to the impact assessment study with an annex containing solutions for the solving of the underlined approximation of the underlined approximation of the underlined approximation of the titleholder the supplementation of the underlined approximation approximatio</i>	
Solution	the project ini information or	of the attendant to the public consultations identifies and specifies no problems in regard tiated by RMGC subject to the environmental impact assessment procedure, but reques n Piatra Albă resettlement site, whose development is ongoing, and which is outside the relating to the EIA document.	
	proposed proje inclusion. It is interests, and o	considering RMGC has expressed its full availability to discuss any issues relevant for the ect, this suggestion has been passed on to the team developing Piatra Albă for possib RMGC's intention to make Piatra Albă as responsive as possible to community practice occupations. However, the usual veterinary assistance, by nature, is made where the anima nly in areas where the access is slightly difficult, which is the case in Roșia Montană.	

Domain		RRAP
MMDD's item no. for the question which includes the observation identified by the RMGC internal code		349
question which	ication no. for the includes the ntified by the RMGC	Bucuresti, 21.08.2006
RMGC internal u	inique code	MMGA_0723
Proposal	of the earth. V they be exhun	nany churches and graveyards in the Rosia Montana area that would disappear from the face What does the company plan to do with the deceased people from Rosia Montana? How will ned and where will they be resettled?
	projects or hi with the chur the legislation Contrary to v graveyards. Two churches	and two prayer houses out of a total of 10 places of worship located within the project's
	with the wish the new comm To put the nu by the minin	st be relocated or restored under the mine plan. Those churches will be moved in accordance es of the congregation, at the expense of RMGC. Church construction is a central element in nunity of Piatra Albă being built by the company. Imber of graves in context, 410 graves of the Roșia Montană's 1,905 graves will be affected ag project, as the company has to the maximum extent possible designed the mining leave established graveyards in place.
Six cemeterie must be a ver development true that com from 70% tod		s, out of the existing twelve will be affected by the project. In the case of any grave, there cy strong reason for that grave to be removed. The communities have created during their initial rules, later turned into laws that deal with this unfortunate event. And yet it is also munities are themselves living entities, and without the RMP - with unemployment rising ay to more than 90% - refusing to bring new development to Roșia Montană could mean the age's ability to support itself.
	to the letter reverence. Ab	vill be done at the request of the families, and the expense of RMGC. The process will follow Romanian law on reburials [1], with the company's commitment to act with respect and andoned graves will be relocated, also with full respect and reverence, to Piatra Albă's new which 13 hectares have been set aside.
	<ul> <li>(i) Law no. Romania</li> <li>(ii) Law no. the Rom supplem</li> <li>(iii) The hyg in the F supplem</li> <li>(iv) GD no. 9 public se</li> </ul>	tion of graves and cemeteries is governed by the following regulatory acts: 489/2006 on the freedom of religion and the general regime of religious affairs, published in the an Official Gazette, Section I, no. 11/08.01.2007; 98/1994 establishing and sanctioning breaches of the hygiene and public health rules, published in nanian Official Gazette, Section I, no. 317/16.11.1994, as subsequently amended and eented ("Law no. 98/1994'); iene norms and recommendations concerning the population's life environment, published Romanian Official Gazette, Section I, no. 140/03.07.1997, as subsequently amended and eented ("Order 536/1997"); 955/2004 on the approval of the framework Rules for the organization and operation of the ervices for the administration of the public and private domain of local interest, published in anian Official Gazette, Section I, no. 660/22.07.2004;

 (v) Order no. 261/1982 on the approval of the standard Rules for the administration of graveyards and the crematories of the localities, published in the Official Gazette no. 67/11.03.1983; (vi) Rules for the organization and operation of the parish and monastery graveyards within the eparchies of the Romanian Orthodox Church, approved by Decision of the Religious Affairs Department no. 16.285/31.12.1981.

Domain		RRAP
which include	no. for the question s the observation he RMGC internal	357
MMDD's identification no. for the question which includes the observation identified by the RMGC internal code		Bucuresti, 21.08.2006
RMGC interna	l unique code	MMGA_0735
Proposal	condition of the almost disapp are dated since	company purchased houses in Rosia Montana before the project initiation? The precari he houses purchased by Rosia Montana Gold Corporation is worsening every year, they heared. The company said it would preserve them, it would protect the heritage. These house the 18th, 19th centuries, there are 9 churches, and RMGC has not preserved any of the vill not preserve any of them in future either, if the project is not approved.
	The acquisitio mining activit simultaneousl Technically, th	on by the project's titleholder of the usage right over all area necessary for developmen ties, as required by art. 6 of the Mining Law no. 85/2003, is a long-term process develo by with the permitting process, in order for the RMP to meet its timetable. The owners' request to sell houses from the Protected Area was based on the fact they had a rties elsewhere, under the footprint of the Project and such properties have been sold
Solution	RMGC. As part of its of so that all 41 this questione begun. A desig 10-person tea particular foct	commitment to preserving Roșia Montană's cultural heritage, RMGC has designed its pro- historical structures in Roșia Montană are unaffected by the mine. Contrary to the claim er, preservation of properties both inside and outside of the protected area has alre- gn team is now under contract to restore 11 historical structures in the protected area, ar am is dedicated to maintenance of properties in the protected area and outside, wit us on the historical structures. A complete and comprehensive preservation/conservat and across the life of the project, but the effort is already underway.

Domain	RRAP
MMDD's item no. for the question which includes the observation identified by the RMGC internal code	371
MMDD's identification no. for the question which includes the observation identified by the RMGC internal code	Bucuresti, 21.08.2006
RMGC internal unique code	MMGA_0759
Proposal What does the	e company do with the private and public properties, the churches and graveyards?
the lands nece (i) s (ii) l (iii) l (iii) r (iv) l The publicly of concession ag approach, used Two churches footprint mus accordance wi central elemer To put the nu affected by the operations to 1 mine). Solution All reburials w to the letter l reverence. Aba cemetery. What the RMI that future - w proceed - wou there would li Development to the region. <b>References:</b> [1] the relocat (i) Law no Romania (ii) Law no. 9 the Rom	Mining Law no. 85/2003 provides for different legal methods to acquire the usage right over usary for mining projects development, covering both public and private domain, as follows: sale-purchase, for the price agreed upon by the parties; and exchange, with the relocation of the affected owner and the reconstruction of the uildings on the newly granted land, at the expense of the titleholder benefiting from the and released, as per the convention between the parties; renting of the land for a fixed-term period, based on agreements between the parties; and concession, etc. wwned properties needed for the project will be obtained through the legal instruments, greements and/or direct acquisition, following the procedures based on a public tender d in all Romanian local administrations. and two prayer houses out of a total of 10 places of worship located within the project's it be relocated or restored under the mine plan. Those churches will be moved/restored in the new community of Piatra Albä being built by the company. mber of graves in context, the vast majority of the graves in Roşia Montană will not be e mining project, as the company has to the maximum extent possible designed the mining leave established graveyards in place (410 graves need to be moved during he lifetime of the and one draves will be relocated, also with full respect and reverence, to Piatra Albā's new P project offers to future generations is a chance to continue a way of life in a village where <i>i</i> th 70% unemployment today, <i>r</i> ising above 90% if RMGC's proposed mine is not allowed to ld be very much in doubt. In the event of Roşia Montanā's demise, the graves and churches kely be left behind, as in other abandoned mining villages in the Romanian countryside. of the RMP will keep the village alive - in fact and in spirit - and bring economic opportunity toon of graves and cemeteries is governed by the following regulatory acts: 489/2006 <i>on the freedom of religion and the general regime of religious affairs</i> , published in the no Offic

\_

\_

-

(iii) The hygiene norms and recommendations concerning the population's life environment, published in the Romanian Official Gazette, Section I, no. 140/03.07.1997, as subsequently amended and supplemented ("Order 536/1997");

- (iv) GD no. 955/2004 on the approval of the framework Rules for the organization and operation of the public services for the administration of the public and private domain of local interest, published in the Romanian Official Gazette, Section I, no. 660/22.07.2004;
- (v) Order no. 261/1982 on the approval of the standard Rules for the administration of graveyards and the crematories of the localities, published in the Official Gazette no. 67/11.03.1983;
- (vi) Rules for the organization and operation of the parish and monastery graveyards within the eparchies of the Romanian Orthodox Church, approved by Decision of the Religious Affairs Department no. 16.285/31.12.1981.

Domain		RRAP
MMDD's item no which includes t identified by the code		379
question which	ication no. for the includes the ntified by the RMGC	Bucuresti, 21.08.2006
RMGC internal u	inique code	MMGA_0785
Proposal	benefits versu	nplies the procedure of expropriation in the public interest. Taking into consideration the is risks and costs for the Romanian state, what is the public interest in this case? Why does believe that the Romanian government will declare this project of public use?
Solution	RMGC is doing all it can to acquire the necessary property to build and operate the RMP by way of property purchase program, designed to meet World Bank standards. The company is also comm whenever feasible to redesign the mine plan to exclude properties whose owners do not wish to sell. Ultimately, however, it is possible that a few property owners will seek to stop the project by refusis sell their land. At that point, the decision falls to the relevant Romanian authorities to exercise the instruments available to them to expropriate the properties by deciding whether developmer Romania's mineral wealth—using advanced E.U. and international standards—in a developmer Bomania's mineral wealth—using advanced E.U. and international standards—in a developmer "Disadvantaged Zone", constitutes a strategic national interest. Given the poverty in rural Romania and the experience of other developing nations, RMGC believes rational exploitation of mineral wealth can be a catalyst for economic growth in Romania. In this respect, mention should be made that art. 6 of the Mining Law no. 85/2003 expressly pro expropriation as one of the legal methods for a titleholder to acquire the usage right over the the necessary for the development of the mining activities in the exploitation perimeter. Also, art. 1 of no. 33/1994 on the expropriation for public utility cause provides that " <i>the expropriation of immo property</i> , [], <u>can be made only for cause of public utility</u> ", and art. 6 of the same law provides that " <i>the expropriation of immo property</i> . In conclusion, the expropriation, in exchange of a fair and prior compensation, made in accordance the legal and constitutional provisions, represents one of the modalities of obtaining usage right over lands necessary for the development of a mining project, being expressly provided by art. 6 of the M	

Domain		RRAP
which include	no. for the question is the observation the RMGC internal	379
question which	tification no. for the h includes the lentified by the RMGC	Bucuresti, 21.08.2006
RMGC interna	l unique code	MMGA_0787
Proposal	Romanian gov	settlement and relocation have a major social impact. Considering the profit and loss of the rernment in relation to this project, population resettlement has no justification at all. Why elieve that the Romanian government will approve this?
	more than 500 in the Resettle guidelines, as l We believe th improving res	need resettlement and relocation are not unusual. The World Bank has financed directly O projects that needed this approach during the last 10 years. The social impact is addressed ement and Relocation Plan designed by the company in accordance with the World Bank's best practices available. Nat bringing new economic opportunities to a community with 70% unemployment and idents' health by remedying past environmental damage will have a major positive social so believe that it is important to note that our project will economically benefit Romania as a
Solution	sell their land. instruments a Romania's mi benefiting fro	owever, it is possible that a few property owners will seek to stop the project by refusing to . At that point, the decision falls to the relevant Romanian authorities to exercise the lega available to them to expropriate the properties by deciding whether development of ineral wealth—using advanced E.U. and international standards—in a development m \$2.5 billion USD infused into Romania, much of it into a rural region designated a ed Zone", constitutes a strategic national interest.
	expropriation necessary for no. 33/1994 o <i>property</i> , [], <u>c</u>	t, mention should be made that art. 6 of the Mining law no. 85/2003 expressly provides as one of the legal methods for a titleholder to acquire the usage right over the lands the development of the mining activities in the exploitation perimeter. Also, art. 1 of Law on the expropriation for public utility cause provides that "the <u>expropriation</u> of immovable <u>can be made only for cause of public utility</u> ", and art. 6 of the same law provides that " <u>there are</u> <u>ic utility: geological exploration and prospecting; extraction and processing of useful mineral</u>
	the legal and c lands necessar	the expropriation, in exchange of a fair and prior compensation, made in accordance with constitutional provisions, represents one of the modalities of obtaining usage right over the cy for the development of a mining project, being expressly provided by art. 6 of the Mining 103 and by art. 6 of Law no. 33/1994.

Domain		RRAP		
MMDD's item no which includes t identified by the code		383		
question which i	cation no. for the includes the ntified by the RMGC	Bucuresti, 21.08.2006		
RMGC internal u	nique code	MMGA_0797		
Proposal	that he lives company do w			
	The company'	s RRAP is based on voluntary sale of property, designed under World Bank Standards.		
	requires the a	sue in the larger context, the construction and operation of the Roșia Montană Project acquisition of properties in four of Roșia Montană's 16 sub-comuna. For the most part, perty ownership in the larger part of Roșia Montană will not be affected by the project.		
		quire the necessary properties, the company has established a property purchase program h the RRAP guidelines developed by the World Bank.		
	Accordingly, t mine in its fir	g project proceeds in phases, it is not necessary to acquire all properties at the outset. he company has focused on properties required for the construction and operation of the st five years. To date, more than 56% of the properties needed to construct the project and ine for the first five years have been acquired.		
	owners – a ste	perties needed but not yet acquired, 98% have been so far presented for surveying by their ep that implies an interest in selling the property to the company. The survey rate suggests re than a handful of properties are held by people who might prove unwilling to entertain a		
Solution	operation of t	smaller number of homes that are located in areas in which the construction and early the mine will take place, the company will seek options to redesign the mine plan to allow to retain their property, unaffected by the mine.		
<ul> <li>sell their land. At that point, the decision falls to the relevant Romaniar instruments available to them to expropriate the properties by dec Romania's mineral wealth—using advanced E.U. and international benefiting from \$2.5 billion USD infused into Romania, much of it i "Disadvantaged Zone", constitutes a strategic national interest.</li> <li>In this respect, mention should be made that art. 6 of the Mining Law expropriation as one of the legal methods for a titleholder to acquire necessary for the developing of the mining activities in the exploitation p 33/1994 on the expropriation for public utility cause provides that "the exploit utility: geological exploration and prospecting; extraction and processing of the legal and constitutional provisions, represents one of the modalities lands necessary for the development of a mining project, being expressly</li> </ul>		owever, it is possible that a few property owners will seek to stop the project by refusing to . At that point, the decision falls to the relevant Romanian authorities to exercise the legal available to them to expropriate the properties by deciding whether development of ineral wealth—using advanced E.U. and international standards—in a development om \$2.5 billion USD infused into Romania, much of it into a rural region designated a ed Zone", constitutes a strategic national interest.		
		t, mention should be made that art. 6 of the Mining Law no. 85/2003 expressly provides as one of the legal methods for a titleholder to acquire the usage right over the lands the developing of the mining activities in the exploitation perimeter. Also, art. 1 of Law no. he expropriation for public utility cause provides that " <i>the expropriation of immovable</i> property the only for cause of public utility", and art. 6 of the same law provides that " <i>there are causes of</i> <i>eological exploration and prospecting; extraction and processing of useful mineral substances</i> ".		
		, the expropriation, in exchange of a fair and prior compensation, made in accordance with constitutional provisions, represents one of the modalities of obtaining usage right over the ry for the development of a mining project, being expressly provided by art. 6 of the Mining 003 and by art. 6 of Law no. 33/1994.		

Domain		RRAP
MMDD's item no. for the question which includes the observation identified by the RMGC internal code		383
question which	fication no. for the n includes the entified by the RMGC	Bucuresti, 21.08.2006
RMGC internal	unique code	MMGA_0798
Proposal		company do with the 10 churches and 10 graveyards existing in Rosia Montana. What will it ead from the 10 graveyards? Will it cover them under the tailings?
	Contrary to v graveyards.	what the opponents of the mining project claim, no one wants to destroy churches or
	footprint mus with the wishe	and two prayer houses out of a total of 10 places of worship located within the project's t be relocated or restored under the mine plan. Those churches will be moved in accordance es of the congregation, at the expense of RMGC. Churches construction is a central element nmunity of Piatra Albă being built by the company.
	by the minin	mber of graves in context, 410 graves of the Roșia Montană's 1,905 graves will be affected g project, as the company has to the maximum extent possible designed the mining leave established graveyards in place.
	must be a ver development i true that com from 70% tod	e existing twelve cemeteries will be affected by the project. In the case of any grave, there y strong reason for that grave to be removed. The communities have created during their initial rules, later turned into laws that deal with this unfortunate event. And yet it is also munities are themselves living entities, and without the RMP – with unemployment rising lay to more than 90% refusing to bring new development to Roșia Montană could mean village's ability to support itself.
Solution	to the letter l reverence. Aba	rill be done at the request of the families, and the expense of RMGC. The process will follow Romanian law on reburials [1], with the company's commitment to act with respect and andoned graves will be relocated, also with full respect and reverence, to Piatra Albă's new which 13 hectares have been set aside.
	<ul> <li>(i) Law no the Rom</li> <li>(ii) Law no. publisher amended</li> <li>(iii) The hygi in the R supplem</li> <li>(iv) GD no. 9 public set the Rom</li> <li>(v) Order no the crem</li> <li>(vi) Rules fo eparchies</li> </ul>	tion of graves and cemeteries is governed by the following regulatory acts: 489/2006 on the freedom of religion and the general regime of religious affairs, published in anian Official Gazette, Section I, no. 11/08.01.2007. 98/1994 establishing and sanctioning breaches of the hygiene and public health rules, d in the Romanian Official Gazette, Section I, no. 317/16.11.1994, as subsequently and supplemented ("Law no. 98/1994'); tene norms and recommendations concerning the population's life environment, published comanian Official Gazette, Section I, no. 140/03.07.1997, as subsequently amended and ented ("Order 536/1997"); 055/2004 on the approval of the framework Rules for the organization and operation of the rvices for the administration of the public and private domain of local interest, published in anian Official Gazette, Section I, no. 660/22.07.2004; 0. 261/1982 on the approval of the standard Rules for the administration of graveyards and atories of the localities, published in the Official Gazette no. 67/11.03.1983; or the organization and operation of the parish and monastery graveyards within the s of the Romanian Orthodox Church, approved by Decision of the Religious Affairs ent no. 16.285/31.12.1981.

MMDD's item	no. for the question	
which include	s the observation he RMGC internal	387
question whic	ification no. for the h includes the entified by the RMGC	Bucuresti, 21.08.2006
RMGC internal	unique code	MMGA_0804
Proposal		ho demolish their houses with the tacit approval of the local authorities, and exhume the e ashamed, especially since no agreement exists in this respect.
	The demolitic authorities.	on of the houses is made exclusively after getting the demolition permit from the loc
	graveyards. H by the World graves will no	what the opponents of the mining project claim, no one wants to destroy homes omes are being sold voluntarily, and being bought by the company under rules established Bank. To put the number of graves in context, the vast majority of Roşia Montana's 1,90 of the affected by the mining project, as the company has to the maximum extent possib mining operations to leave established graveyards in place. However, 410 graves need to b
	to the letter reverence. Ab	vill be done at the request of the families, and the expense of RMGC. The process will follo Romanian law on reburials [1] with the company's commitment to act with respect an andoned graves will be relocated, also with full respect and reverence, to Piatra Albă's ne which 13 hectares have been set aside.
Solution	that future – to proceed – churches ther	P project offers to future generations is a chance to continue a way of life in a village whe with 70% unemployment today, rising above 90% if RMGC's proposed mine is not allow would be very much in doubt. In the event of Roşia Montană's demise, the graves ar e would likely be left behind, as in other abandoned villages in the Romanian countrysic of the RMP will keep the village alive and bring economic opportunity to the region.
	References:	
	<ul><li>(i) Law no. the Rom</li><li>(ii) Law no. publishe</li></ul>	ation of graves and cemeteries is governed by the following regulatory acts: 489/2006 on the freedom of religion and the general regime of religious affairs, published aanian Official Gazette, Section I, no. 11/08.01.2007. 98/1994 establishing and sanctioning breaches of the hygiene and public health rule and in the Romanian Official Gazette, Section I, no. 317/16.11.1994, as subsequent d and supplemented ("Law no. 98/1994');
	(iii) The hyg in the F	iene norms and recommendations concerning the population's life environment, publishe Romanian Official Gazette, Section I, no. 140/03.07.1997, as subsequently amended ar iented ("Order 536/1997");
	(iv) GD no. S public se	955/2004 on the approval of the framework Rules for the organization and operation of the ervices for the administration of the public and private domain of local interest, published manian Official Gazette, Section I, no. 660/22.07.2004;
	(v) Order no	o. 261/1982 on the approval of the standard Rules for the administration of graveyards ar natories of the localities, published in the Official Gazette no. 67/11.03.1983;
	(vi) Rules fo eparchie	or the organization and operation of the parish and monastery graveyards within the s of the Romanian Orthodox Church, approved by Decision of the Religious Affai ment no. 16.285/31.12.1981.

Domain		RRAP	
which include	no. for the question is the observation the RMGC internal	391 Bucuresti, 21.08.2006	
question whic	tification no. for the ch includes the lentified by the RMGC		
RMGC interna	l unique code	MMGA_0823	
Proposal		er says that the company representatives are lying when they declare that only one dead was n Rosia Montana, when there were actually 5, and underlines that exhumations are allowed 7 e burial.	
	result of the Romanian lav after the buri Order no. 536 of moved grav To put the m affected by th	any misunderstanding about the number of graves moved thus far, any grave moved as a Roşia Montană Project will be treated with reverence and respect, and in accordance with $w$ [1]. With regard to the possibilities to conduct exhumations sooner than the 7-year period ial, these are expressly regulated by art. 22 of the Order no. 261/1982 and art. 151 of the 6/1997. As for the exact numbers, with regard to your question, please note that the number ves has increased to 20 graves. umber of graves in context, the vast majority of Roşia Montană's 1,905 graves will not be ne mining project, as the company has to the maximum extent possible designed the mining eleave established graveyards in place. However, under the proposed project, 410 graves need	
		will be done at the request of the families, and the expense of RMGC. Abandoned graves will also with full respect and reverence, to Piatra Albă's new cemetery.	
	References:		
Solution	<ul><li>[1] the reloca</li><li>(i) Law no.</li><li>the Rom</li><li>(ii) Law no.</li><li>published</li></ul>	ation of graves and cemeteries is governed by the following regulatory acts: 489/2006 on the freedom of religion and the general regime of religious affairs, published in nanian Official Gazette, Section I, no. 11/08.01.2007. . 98/1994 establishing and sanctioning breaches of the hygiene and public health rules, ed in the Romanian Official Gazette, Section I, no. 317/16.11.1994, as subsequently d and supplemented ("Law no. 98/1994');	
	(iii) The hyg in the I	giene norms and recommendations concerning the population's life environment, published Romanian Official Gazette, Section I, no. 140/03.07.1997, as subsequently amended and nented ("Order 536/1997");	
	(iv) GD no. public se	955/2004 on the approval of the framework Rules for the organization and operation of the ervices for the administration of the public and private domain of local interest, published in nanian Official Gazette, Section I, no. 660/22.07.2004;	
	(v) Order n	o. 261/1982 on the approval of the standard Rules for the administration of graveyards and natories of the localities, published in the Official Gazette no. 67/11.03.1983;	
		or the organization and operation of the parish and monastery graveyards within the	

(vi) Rules for the organization and operation of the parish and monastery graveyards within the eparchies of the Romanian Orthodox Church, approved by Decision of the Religious Affairs Department no. 16.285/31.12.1981

Domain		RRAP				
MMDD's item no. for the question which includes the observation identified by the RMGC internal code		395				
MMDD's identifica question which in observation identi internal code	cludes the	Bucuresti, 21.08.2006				
RMGC internal uni	que code	MMGA_0841				
Proposal	is obtained for	pen with the people who do not want to leave Rosia Montana, if the environmental permit r this project? The company declared that, in this situation, the project will no longer be or a different project will be initiated. Are people going to be resettled by force?				
	of Roșia Monta	on and operation of the Roșia Montană Project requires the acquisition of properties in four ană's 16 sub-comuna. For the most part, therefore, property ownership in the larger part of ă will not be affected by the project.				
	compliant with on the princip packages for th	In order to acquire the necessary properties, the company has established a property purchase program compliant with the RRAP guidelines developed by the World Bank. RMGC's approach is primarily based on the principle of a "willing seller-buyer basis" and to this extent, RMGC provided fair compensation packages for the affected inhabitants of the impacted area, in full compliance with the World Bank policies and guidelines in this field.				
	a step that imp	erties needed but not yet acquired, 98% have been presented for surveying by their owners – plies an interest in selling the property to the company. The survey rate suggests that little andful of properties are held by people who might prove unwilling to entertain a sale.				
	For the near-t	number, some will lie in areas not needed for construction and early operation of the mine. erm, therefore, owners of these properties need not prove any impediment to the mine and they can continue to live as they wish.				
Solution	operation of th	maller number of homes that are located in areas in which the construction and early ne mine will take place, the company will seek options to redesign the mine plan to allow o retain their property, unaffected by the mine.				
	Ultimately, however, it is possible that a few property owners will seek to stop the project by refusing to sell their land. At that point, the decision falls to the relevant Romanian authorities to exercise the legal instruments available to them to expropriate the properties by deciding whether development of Romania's mineral wealth—using advanced E.U. and international standards—in a development benefiting from \$2.5 billion USD infused into Romania, much of it into a rural region designated a "Disadvantaged Zone", constitutes a strategic national interest.					
	In this respect, mention should be made that art. 6 of the Mining Law no. 85/2003 expressly provides expropriation as one of the legal methods for a titleholder to acquire the usage right over the lands necessary for the development of the mining activities in the exploitation perimeter. Also, art. 1 of Law no. 33/1994 on the expropriation for public utility cause provides that "the <u>expropriation</u> of immovable property [], <u>can be made only for cause of public utility</u> ", and art. 6 of the same law provides that " <u>there are causes of public utility</u> : <u>geological exploration and prospecting</u> ; <u>extraction and processing of useful mineral substances</u> ".					
	In conclusion	the expropriation in exchange of a fair and prior compensation made in accordance with				

In conclusion, the expropriation, in exchange of a fair and prior compensation, made in accordance with the legal and constitutional provisions, represents one of the modalities of obtaining the right of use over the lands necessary for the development of a mining project, being expressly provided by art. 6 of the Mining Law no. 85/2003 and by art. 6 of Law no. 33/1994.

Domain	RRAP
MMDD's item no. for the question which includes the observation identified by the RMGC internal code	396
MMDD's identification no. for the question which includes the observation identified by the RMGC internal code	Bucuresti, 21.08.2006
RMGC internal unique code	MMGA_0842
interested in Church, the Proposal Holy Synod unacceptable project prop	her wants to repeat the message transmitted by the Holy Synod, in 2003, to all the parties the Rosia Montana project, namely that, from the standpoint of the Romanian Orthodox resettlement of churches and graveyards is unacceptable. According to the declaration of the made during this public meeting, the resettlement of the churches and graveyards is and, for this reason, the Romanian Orthodox Church is against the implementation of the osed by RMGC. The questioner requests the company representatives not to be ironic about of over 20 million Romanians.
Contrary to graveyards. T not be affect mining oper- predate min- accounts for under the pro- All reburials to the letter reverence. A cemetery. Two churches footprint mu with the wisk in the new co What the RM that future – to proceed – churches the Developmen <b>References:</b> [1] the reloce (i) Law no the Ror (ii) Law no publish amende (iii) The hy, in the suppler (iv) GD no. public s	what the opponents of the mining project claim, no one wants to destroy churches or 'o put the number of graves in context, the vast majority of Roşia Montana's 1,905 graves will ed by the mining project, as the company has to the maximum extent possible designed the ations to leave established graveyards in place. Criticisms made by the Holy Synod in 2003 e redesign that has limited the number of churches and graves that must be moved, which the local support the RMP receives from churches in the village of Roşia Montanā. However, popsed project, 410 graves need to be moved. will be done at the request of the families, and the expense of RMGC. The process will follow Romanian law on reburials [1], with the company's commitment to act with respect and bandoned graves will be relocated, also with full respect and reverence, to Piatra Albä's new es and two prayer houses out of a total of 10 places of worship located within the project's ist be relocated or restored under the mine plan. Those churches will be moved in accordance nes of the congregation, at the expense of RMGC. Churches construction is a central element ommunity of Piatra Albā being built by the company. AP project offers to future generations is a chance to continue a way of life in a village where with 70% unemployment today, rising above 90% if RMGC's proposed mine is not allowed • would be very much in doubt. In the event of Roşia Montana's demise, the graves and re would likely be left behind, as in other abandoned villages in the Romanian countryside. to fthe RMP will keep the village alive and bring economic opportunity to the region. ation of graves and cemeteries is governed by the following regulatory acts: . 489/2006 on the freedom of religion and the general regime of religious affairs, published in nanian Official Gazette, Section I, no. 11/08.01.2007. 98/1994 establishing and sanctioning breaches of the hygiene and public health rules, ed in the Romanian Official Gazette, Section I, no. 317/16.11.1994, as subsequently ed and sup
(v) Order r	nanian Official Gazette, Section I, no. 660/22.07.2004; no. 261/1982 on the approval of the standard Rules for the administration of graveyards and natories of the localities, published in the Official Gazette no. 67/11.03.1983;

(vi) Rules for the organization and operation of the parish and monastery graveyards within the

eparchies of the Romanian Orthodox Church, approved by Decision of the Religious Affairs Department no. 16.285/31.12.1981.

Domain		RRAP
MMDD's item no. for the question which includes the observation identified by the RMGC internal code		398
MMDD's identification no. for the question which includes the observation identified by the RMGC internal code		Bucuresti, 21.08.2006
RMGC internal	unique code	MMGA_0853
Proposal		locals who cannot earn their living from mining, and who have been practicing agriculture, does not include a description of the impact generated by the change in destination of
Solution	largely unchar hectares have area). In addit keeping with h The farming in	E project affects only 4 of Roșia Montană's 16 sub-comuna, agricultural activities will be nged by the mining project. However, if a resident wishes to relocate to Piatra Albă, 60 been set aside for agricultural development (outside of the 58 hectares in the community ion, each property intended for housing in Piatra Albă includes a small agricultural plot, in ocal tradition. In the area of Roșia Montană has always been one of subsistence and mostly done in Virtop nited surfaces in Corna.
		o the impact generated by RMP in respect of agriculture, this is described in the EIA Report otential Impacts, Subchapter 4.8 - Social and Economic Environment).

Domain MMDD's item no. for the question which includes the observation identified by the RMGC internal code		RRAP	
		398	
MMDD's identification no. for the question which includes the observation identified by the RMGC internal code		Bucuresti, 21.08.2006	
RMGC interna	l unique code	MMGA_0854	
Proposal	a negative and and the rest of only to the inf	e resettlement area, Piatra Alba, is not sufficient for the farmers' agricultural cultures. This I long-term aspect, because only part of the population can be employed in mining activitie f the locals will need to continue their farming activities. The change in the use of land refe frastructure, but these are social problems. People are aware of all risks; they have sold the oved away, because the Rosia Montana area does not have the big economic potential state ny.	
	It is true, of c who engage in only 4 of Roşi sets aside 60	ourse, that not all local people will work at the mine, nor is that even desirable. For those a agricultural pursuits, their work will be largely unaffected since the mining project affec a Montana's 16 sub-comuna. In addition, the planning of the new community, Piatra Alb hectares for agricultural development, outside of the 58 hectares in the community are each property intended for housing includes a small agricultural plot, in keeping with loc	
Solution	The farming in the area of Roșia Montană has always been one of subsistence and mostly done in Vârtop area and on limited surfaces in Corna. For the actual impact of the RMP in respect of agriculture, pleas refer to the EIA Report, Chapter 4 – Potential Impacts, Subchapter 4.8 - Social and Economica Environment.		
	enormous eco	the difficult economic conditions present today in Roșia Montană the new mine will hav momic impact on the area, especially given the current state of the economy in the Roș on. The mine will infuse more than \$2.5 billion into the Romanian economy over the life o	

Domain		RRAP	
MMDD's item no. for the question which includes the observation identified by the RMGC internal code		403	
question which	fication no. for the n includes the entified by the RMGC	Bucuresti, 21.08.2006	
RMGC internal	unique code	MMGA_0872	
Proposal		ost of resettlement, what is the price of a grave, according to the company's representatives? ranted to buy the grave of the grandparents of one of the company's representatives, how t cost?	
	The company,	, as a matter of policy, does not pay for graves.	
		purse a cost in the larger sense for the resettlement of any grave, and there must be a very for that grave to be removed.	
	graveyards. To not be affecte	what the opponents of the mining project claim, no one wants to destroy churches or o put the number of graves in context, the vast majority of Roşia Montană's 1,905 graves will ed by the mining project, as the company has to the maximum extent possible designed the tions to leave established graveyards in place. However, 410 graves need to be moved during f the mine.	
		vill be done at the request of the families, and the expense of RMGC. The process will follow Romanian law on reburials [1], with the company's commitment to act with respect and	
Solution	<ul> <li>(i) Law no. the Rom</li> <li>(ii) Law no. publishe amendee</li> <li>(iii) The hygi in the R supplem</li> <li>(iv) GD no. 9 public se</li> </ul>	ation of graves and cemeteries is governed by the following regulatory acts: 489/2006 on the freedom of religion and the general regime of religious affairs, published in nanian Official Gazette, Section I, no. 11/08.01.2007. 98/1994 establishing and sanctioning breaches of the hygiene and public health rules ed in the Romanian Official Gazette, Section I, no. 317/16.11.1994, as subsequently d and supplemented ("Law no. 98/1994'); iene norms and recommendations concerning the population's life environment, published comanian Official Gazette, Section I, no. 140/03.07.1997, as subsequently amended and ented ("Order 536/1997"); 955/2004 on the approval of the framework Rules for the organization and operation of the ervices for the administration of the public and private domain of local interest, published in nanian Official Gazette, Section I, no. 660/22.07.2004;	

Domain		RRAP
which includes	no. for the question s the observation ne RMGC internal	414
question which	fication no. for the h includes the entified by the RMGC	Bucuresti, 21.08.2006
RMGC internal	unique code	MMGA_0883
Proposal		does not respect the Romanian people's orthodox faith. There are churches and graves in a, which cannot be moved, like they moved the Giulesti graveyard, and the Ciurel lake.
	The company manner.	does respect the orthodox faith and equally all and any other denomination active in a legal
	have been rel reburial [1], ar To put the nu affected by th operations to to be relocate Abandoned gr Many oppone Christian trad the mining log of the miners. Two churches footprint mus	that Romanian law prohibits the movement of graves. In many parts of the country, graves located. Romanian law establishes the accepted methods for exhumation of remains and and the company is pledged to follow those laws to the letter. In the company is pledged to follow those laws to the letter. In the company is pledged to follow those laws to the letter. In the company is pledged to follow those laws to the letter. In the company is pledged to follow those laws to the letter. In the company is pledged to follow those laws to the letter. In the company is pledged to follow those laws to the letter. In the company is pledged to follow those laws to the letter. In the company is pledged to follow those laws to the maximum extent possible designed the mining leave established graveyards in place. However, under the proposed project, 410 graves need ed, and that will be done according to the wishes of the family and at RMGC's expense. In the fact that will be relocated, with full respect and reverence, to Piatra Alba's new cemetery. The symbols still present in Roşia Montană has been present along with the litions for thousand years. The symbols still present in Roşia Montană community's life are go on the funeral crosses and on houses, and Sainte Varvara, still seen as the holly protector is and two prayer houses out of a total of 10 places of worship located within the project's set be relocated or restored under the mine plan. Those churches will be moved in accordance
Solution	in the new corr References: [1] the reloca (i) Law no. the Rom (ii) Law no. publishe amended (iii) The hygi in the R supplem (iv) GD no. S public se the Rom (v) Order no the crem (vi) Rules for eparchie	es of the congregation, at the expense of RMGC. Churches construction is a central element mmunity of Piatra Albă being built by the company. tion of graves and cemeteries is governed by the following regulatory acts: 489/2006 on the freedom of religion and the general regime of religious affairs, published in anian Official Gazette, Section I, no. 11/08.01.2007. 98/1994 establishing and sanctioning breaches of the hygiene and public health rules, d in the Romanian Official Gazette, Section I, no. 317/16.11.1994, as subsequently d and supplemented ("Law no. 98/1994'); iene norms and recommendations concerning the population's life environment, published comanian Official Gazette, Section I, no. 140/03.07.1997, as subsequently amended and tented ("Order 536/1997"); 0255/2004 on the approval of the framework Rules for the organization and operation of the ervices for the administration of the public and private domain of local interest, published in ianian Official Gazette, Section I, no. 660/22.07.2004; b. 261/1982 on the approval of the standard Rules for the administration of graveyards and natories of the localities, published in the Official Gazette no. 67/11.03.1983; or the organization and operation of the parish and monastery graveyards within the s of the Romanian Orthodox Church, approved by Decision of the Religious Affairs nent no. 16.285/31.12.1981.

Domain		RRAP	
MMDD's item no. for the question which includes the observation identified by the RMGC internal code		428	
question which	fication no. for the h includes the entified by the RMGC	Bucuresti, 21.08.2006	
RMGC internal	unique code	MMGA_0925	
Proposal	How can you explain that many of those who have sold their properties have gone as far away as possible from Rosia Montana: was it because they trust the project or because they fear a possible disaster?		
	including to b reasonable to	ontană residents who have sold their houses to RMGC have moved for many reasons e closer to their relatives and to pursue opportunities in different towns or cities. It is no claim that these residents left out of fear of a disaster, especially since the RMGC project is eet and exceed E.U. standards and international best practice.	
Solution	The highest percentage of destinations is in Alba County, in Abrud, Câmpeni, and Alba Iulia (62%) Hunedoara county has been chosen by 16% of the relocates.		
	Likewise, Piatra Albă will serve as home to a number of families who have expressed interest in living at Piatra Albă, which will combine modern amenities (including a new school, medical clinic, city hall, and recreational facilities) and traditional architectural designs.		

MMDD's item no. for the question which includes the observation identified by the RMGC internal code		433	
question whic	ification no. for the h includes the lentified by the RMGC	Bucuresti, 21.08.2006	
RMGC interna	l unique code	MMGA_0932	
Proposal		er voices disagreement with those who are in favour of the relocation of cemeteries, plete disregard for Christian values.	
	The company manner.	does respect the orthodox faith and equally any and all other denomination active in a	
	have been rel reburial [1], a	that Romanian law prohibits the movement of graves. In many parts of the country, g located. Romanian law establishes the accepted methods for exhumation of remains and the company is pledged to follow those laws to the letter. The company would r g graves other than in accordance with the legal and religious practices.	
	affected by th operations to according to t	umber of graves in context, the vast majority of Roșia Montană's 1,905 graves will no the mining project, as the company has to the maximum extent possible designed the mi- leave established graveyards in place. The 410 graves that need to be moved will be reloc the wishes of the family and at RMGC's expense. Abandoned graves will be relocated, and reverence, to Piatra Alba's new cemetery.	
	Christian trad	ents of the project forget that mining in Roșia Montană has been present along with litions for thousand years. The symbols still present in Roșia Montană community's lif go on the funeral crosses. On houses, Sainte Varvara is still seen as the holly protector o	
Solution			
	(i) Law no. the Rom	ation of graves and cemeteries is governed by the following regulatory acts: 489/2006 on the freedom of religion and the general regime of religious affairs, publish nanian Official Gazette, Section I, no. 11/08.01.2007.	
	publishe amendeo	. 98/1994 establishing and sanctioning breaches of the hygiene and public health r ed in the Romanian Official Gazette, Section I, no. 317/16.11.1994, as subsequ d and supplemented ("Law no. 98/1994');	
	in the F	iene norms and recommendations concerning the population's life environment, public Romanian Official Gazette, Section I, no. 140/03.07.1997, as subsequently amended mented ("Order 536/1997");	
	(iv) GD no. S public se	955/2004 on the approval of the framework Rules for the organization and operation c ervices for the administration of the public and private domain of local interest, publish nanian Official Gazette, Section I, no. 660/22.07.2004;	
	(v) Order no	o. 261/1982 on the approval of the standard Rules for the administration of graveyard	
	(vi) Rules fo eparchie	natories of the localities, published in the Official Gazette no. 67/11.03.1983; or the organization and operation of the parish and monastery graveyards withir es of the Romanian Orthodox Church, approved by Decision of the Religious A ment no. 16.285/31.12.1981.	

Domain		RRAP
MMDD's item no. for the question which includes the observation identified by the RMGC internal code		442
question which	fication no. for the n includes the entified by the RMGC	Deva, 23.08.2006
RMGC internal	unique code	MMGA_0943
Proposal		er wants to know who is the owner of the land affected by the proposed investment and egal provisions have been complied with.
Solution	<ul> <li>Whether the legal provisions have been complied with.</li> <li>The ownership of land is relatively complex in Roşia Montană. One could identify private, institutio state's, churches, 'private companies' ownership. As part of the acquisition process, the company allocated significant resources to help clarify and define the legal status of the various properties.</li> <li>RMGC is in complete compliance with all legal provisions associated with the acquisition of property Roşia Montană. In this respect, RMGC observes the legal methods for a titleholder to acquire the us right over the lands necessary for mining projects development, covering both public and private dom as provided by art. 6 of the Mining Law no. 85/2003: (i) sale-purchase, for the price agreed upon by</li> </ul>	

Domain		RRAP	
MMDD's item no. for the question which includes the observation identified by the RMGC internal code		459	
MMDD's identification no. for the question which includes the observation identified by the RMGC internal code		Arad, 25.08.2006	
RMGC interna	l unique code	MMGA_0974	
Proposal	Why does RMGC blackmail local inhabitants in Rosia Montana with extraordinary sums of money, a more than the normal market price, in exchange of their houses, stables and lands?		
	no real estate decades due t	nitiation of the RMGC Resettlement and Relocation Action Plan (RRAP), there was effecti market in Roșia Montană. When people left the area, as they have been doing for over to economic conditions, they often simply abandoned their homes. The RMGC project portunity to realize the value of properties built and maintained over people's lifetimes.	
Solution	not what the p cases, establis by an area 25	Prices paid for properties, under World Bank guidelines, are determined by replacement value—that is not what the property might be worth in Roşia Montană but what it would cost to replicate (and, in som cases, establish for the first time) a comparable and acceptable lifestyle elsewhere in the region (as define by an area 250km around Roşia Montană). Costs in the surrounding area are considerably higher that those in Roşia Montană where depressed economic conditions have caused home prices to decline.	
		e compensation paid covers the replacement value of the properties within the ab ea and not the real market value of the properties in Roșia Montană, by far much lower.	

Domain		RRAP			
which include	no. for the question to the observation the RMGC internal	459			
question whic	tification no. for the ch includes the dentified by the RMGC	Arad, 25.08.2006			
RMGC interna	l unique code	MMGA_0979			
Proposal	Why should t	he churches and graves be destroyed?			
	The company manner.	does respect the orthodox faith and equally all and any other denomination active in a legal			
	The company practices.]	would never accept relocation of graves other than in accordance with the legal and religious			
	Many opponents of the project forget that mining in Roșia Montană has been present along with the Christian traditions for thousand years. The symbols still present in Rosia Montana community's life are the mining logo on the funeral crosses, on houses, Sainte Varvara, still seen as the holy protector of the miners.				
	graveyards. To not be affecte	what the opponents of the mining project claim, no one wants to destroy churches or o put the number of graves in context, the vast majority of Roșia Montană's 1,905 graves will ed by the mining project, as the company has to the maximum extent possible designed the ations to leave established graveyards in place. However, under the proposed project, 410 o be moved.			
	to the letter	will be done at the request of the families, and the expense of RMGC. The process will follow Romanian law on reburials [1], with the company's commitment to act with respect and pandoned graves will be relocated, also with full respect and reverence, to Piatra Albă's new			
Solution	in accordance	ontană's 10 churches must be relocated under the mine plan. Those churches will be moved e with the wishes of the congregation, at the expense of RMGC. Church construction is a nt in the new community of Piatra Albă being built by the company.			
	What the RMP project offers to future generations is a chance to continue a way of life in a village where that future – with 70% unemployment today, rising above 90% if RMGC's proposed mine is not allowed to proceed – would be very much in doubt. In the event of Roșia Montană's demise, the graves and churches there would likely be left behind, as in other abandoned villages in the Romanian countryside. Development of the RMP will keep the village alive and bring economic opportunity to the region.				
	(i) Law no.	ation of graves and cemeteries is governed by the following regulatory acts: 489/2006 on the freedom of religion and the general regime of religious affairs, published in nanian Official Gazette, Section I, no. 11/08.01.2007;			
	(ii) Law no. publishe amendee	. 98/1994 establishing and sanctioning breaches of the hygiene and public health rules, ed in the Romanian Official Gazette, Section I, no. 317/16.11.1994, as subsequently d and supplemented ("Law no. 98/1994");			
	in the F	giene norms and recommendations concerning the population's life environment, published Romanian Official Gazette, Section I, no. 140/03.07.1997, as subsequently amended and hented ("Order 536/1997");			
	(iv) GD no. S	955/2004 on the approval of the framework Rules for the organization and operation of the ervices for the administration of the public and private domain of local interest, published in			

the Romanian Official Gazette, Section I, no. 660/22.07.2004;

- (v) Order no. 261/1982 on the approval of the standard Rules for the administration of graveyards and the crematories of the localities, published in the Official Gazette no. 67/11.03.1983;
- (vi) Rules for the organization and operation of the parish and monastery graveyards within the eparchies of the Romanian Orthodox Church, approved by Decision of the Religious Affairs Department no. 16.285/31.12.1981.

Domain		RRAP
MMDD's item no. for the question which includes the observation identified by the RMGC internal code		460
MMDD's identification no. for the question which includes the observation identified by the RMGC internal code		Arad, 25.08.2006
RMGC internal u	nique code	MMGA_0982
Proposal	cannot be ask been of nation want the gold	er underlines the fact that this is not a project of national interest and local inhabitants ted to leave, even in the situation where just one of them wants to stay. If this project had nal interest, the National Bank of Romania would have got involved. But the Bank does not at Rosia Montana.
	National Bank by the Law no	whether a project is or not of a national interest is not conditioned of support of the c of Romania (the NBR). In fact, the NBR has limited and precise attributions [1], as provided b. 312/2004 for the approval of the NBR Statute, and involvement in projects considered of est is out of the scope and statutory powers of the NBR.
	Project requir	tue of relocation in its larger context, the construction and operation of the Rosia Montana es the acquisition of properties in four of Roșia Montană's 16 sub-comuna. For the most e, property ownership in the larger part of Rosia Montana will not be affected by the project.
		quire the necessary properties, the company has established a property purchase program h the RRAP guidelines developed by the World Bank.
	Accordingly, t mine in its fir	g project proceeds in phases, it is not necessary to acquire all properties at the outset. he company has focused on properties required for the construction and operation of the st five years. To date, more than 56% of the properties needed to construct the project and ine for the first five years have been acquired.
	a step that im	erties needed but not yet acquired, 98% have been presented for surveying by their owners – plies an interest in selling the property to the company. The survey rate suggests that little andful of properties are held by people who might prove unwilling to entertain a sale.
Solution	For the near-	number, some will lie in areas not needed for construction and early operation of the mine. term, therefore, owners of these properties need not prove any impediment to the mine and they can continue to live as they wish.
	operation of t	smaller number of homes that are located in areas in which the construction and early the mine will take place, the company will seek options to redesign the mine plan to allow to retain their property, unaffected by the mine.
	their land, at exercise the le development constitutes a infused into l	owever, it is possible that if a few property owners seek to stop the project by refusing to sell that point, the decision falls to relevant Romanian authorities as to whether they will egal instruments available to them to expropriate the properties and will decide whether of Romania's mineral wealth—using advanced E.U. and international standards— strategic national interest or if development as a whole to benefit from \$2.5 billion USD Romania, much of it into a rural region that was designated a "Disadvantaged Zone" and streme poverty at present is a regional interest.
	one of the leg	ald be made that art. 6 of the Mining law no. 85/2003 expressly provides expropriation as gal methods for a titleholder to acquire the usage right over the lands necessary for the of the mining activities in the exploitation perimeter.
		Law no. 33/1994 on the expropriation for public utility cause provides that " <i>the <u>expropriation</u></i> [], <u>can be made only for cause of public utility</u> ", and art. 6 of the same law provides that " <u>there</u>

are causes of public utility: geological exploration and prospecting; extraction and processing of useful mineral substances".

In conclusion, the expropriation, in exchange of a fair and prior compensation, made in accordance with the legal and constitutional provisions, represents one of the modalities of obtaining the right of use over the lands necessary for the development of a mining project, being expressly provided by art. 6 of the Mining Law no. 85/2003 and by art. 6 of Law no. 33/1994.

## References:

[1]Art. 2 (2) of the NBR Satatute provides for the following powers of the NBR:

- a) to issue and apply the monetary and exchange rate policy;
- b) to authorize, regulate and supervise the credit institutions from the prudential point of view, as well as to promote and monitor the good functioning of the payment system in order to ensure financial stability;
- c) to issue currency as legal payment means on the Romanian territory;
- d) to establish the foreign currency regulations and supervise the observance of the same;
- e) to administrate the international reserves of Romania.

Domain		RRAP
MMDD's item no. for the question which includes the observation identified by the RMGC internal code		460
MMDD's identification no. for the question which includes the observation identified by the RMGC internal code		Arad, 25.08.2006
RMGC internal u	nique code	MMGA_0984
Proposal		roperty is enshrined in the Constitution and there are people who do not want to leave Rosia MGC cannot think of other ways, except using bulldozers, than it should wait until it can.
	acquiring the approach is p provided fair o	s RRAP is based on voluntary sale of property, designed under World Bank Standards. When private property lands necessary for the development of Roşia Montană Project, RMGC's primarily based on the principle of a "willing seller-buyer basis". To this extent, RMGC compensation packages for the affected inhabitants of the impacted area, in full compliance d Bank policies in this field.
	the acquisitio	ue in larger context, the construction and operation of the Roșia Montană Project requires n of properties in four of Roșia Montană's 16 sub-comuna. For the most part, therefore, ership in the larger part of Roșia Montană will not be affected by the project.
		quire the necessary properties, the company has established a property purchase program h the RRAP guidelines developed by the World Bank.
	Accordingly, t mine in its fir	g project proceeds in phases, it is not necessary to acquire all properties at the outset. he company has focused on properties required for the construction and operation of the st five years. To date, more than 56% of the properties needed to construct the project and ine for the first five years have been acquired.
	a step that im	erties needed but not yet acquired, 98% have been presented for surveying by their owners – plies an interest in selling the property to the company. The survey rate suggests that little andful of properties are held by people who might prove unwilling to entertain a sale.
Solution	For the near-	number, some will lie in areas not needed for construction and early operation of the mine. term, therefore, owners of these properties need not prove any impediment to the mine and they can continue to live as they wish.
	operation of t	smaller number of homes that are located in areas in which the construction and early the mine will take place, the company will seek options to redesign the mine plan to allow to retain their property, unaffected by the mine.
	their land, at exercise the le development constitutes a infused into I	owever, it is possible that if a few property owners seek to stop the project by refusing to sell that point, the decision falls to relevant Romanian authorities as to whether they will egal instruments available to them to expropriate the properties and will decide whether of Romania's mineral wealth—using advanced E.U. and international standards— strategic national interest or if development as a whole to benefit from \$2.5 billion USD Romania, much of it into a rural region that was designated a "Disadvantaged Zone" and treme poverty at present is a regional interest.
	one of the leg	ld be made that art. 6 of the Mining Law no. 85/2003 expressly provides expropriation as gal methods for a titleholder to acquire the usage right over the lands necessary for the of the mining activities in the exploitation perimeter.
		Law no. 33/1994 on the expropriation for public utility cause provides that " <i>the <u>expropriation</u></i> property [], <u>can be made only for cause of public utility</u> ", and art. 6 of the same law provides

that "there are causes of public utility: geological exploration and prospecting; extraction and processing of useful mineral substances".

In conclusion, the expropriation, in exchange of a fair and prior compensation, made in accordance with the legal and constitutional provisions, represents one of the modalities of obtaining the right of use over the lands necessary for the development of a mining project, being expressly provided by art. 6 of the Mining Law no. 85/2003 and by art. 6 of Law no. 33/1994.

Domain		RRAP
MMDD's item no. for the question which includes the observation identified by the RMGC internal code		466
MMDD's identification no. for the question which includes the observation identified by the RMGC internal code		Arad, 25.08.2006
RMGC internal	unique code	MMGA_0994
Proposal	The new villag	ge proposed by RMGC resembles an American village, but we are Romanians, not Americans.
	Piatra Albă's	of the design team members are from the Rocky Mountain region of the United States, architecture is clearly Romanian and honors, in particular, the stylistic traditions of In fact, the lead architect on the Piatra Albă project is a Romanian.
Solution	inputs in choo would recogni Albă – central Piatra Albă w	ny public consultation and information meetings, the final design has benefit of a lot of osing the slope and form of the roofs, windows sizes, shapes, proportions, etc. A trained eye ze the elements already present in the historical area of Roşia Montană, transferred to Piatra plaza, gathering places and spots, etc. The community has wanted a more modern look of ith modern amenities (including a new school, medical clinic, city hall, and recreational read of restoring the full traditional look of the buildings and houses.

Domain	RRAP
MMDD's item no. for the question which includes the observation identified by the RMGC internal code	470
MMDD's identification no. for the question which includes the observation identified by the RMGC internal code	Arad, 25.08.2006
RMGC internal unique code	MMGA_1006
Proposal Montana. Con	wn that, from the very beginning, there were people who did not agree to leave Rosia sidering the fact that this is not a project carried out at national level nor is it a project of est, what will happen to the people who oppose resettlement?
The company's	RRAP is based on voluntary sale of property, designed under World Bank Standards.
the acquisition	ue in larger context, the construction and operation of the Roșia Montană Project requires n of properties in four of Roșia Montană's 16 sub-comuna. For the most part, therefore, rship in the larger part of Roșia Montană will not be affected by the project.
	quire the necessary properties, the company has established a property purchase program 1 the RRAP guidelines developed by the World Bank.
Accordingly, t mine in its firs	g project proceeds in phases, it is not necessary to acquire all properties at the outset. ne company has focused on properties required for the construction and operation of the st five years. To date, more than 56% of the properties needed to construct the project and ne for the first five years have been acquired.
a step that im	erties needed but not yet acquired, 98% have been presented for surveying by their owners – plies an interest in selling the property to the company. The survey rate suggests that little andful of properties are held by people who might prove unwilling to entertain a sale.
For the near-t	number, some will lie in areas not needed for construction and early operation of the mine. term, therefore, owners of these properties need not prove any impediment to the mine and they can continue to live as they wish.
operation of t	maller number of homes that are located in areas in which the construction and early he mine will take place, the company will seek options to redesign the mine plan to allow to retain their property, unaffected by the mine.
their land, at exercise the le development constitutes a s infused into F	wever, it is possible that if a few property owners seek to stop the project by refusing to sell that point, the decision falls to relevant Romanian authorities as to whether they will egal instruments available to them to expropriate the properties and will decide whether of Romania's mineral wealth—using advanced E.U. and international standards— strategic national interest or if development as a whole to benefit from \$2.5 billion USD Romania, much of it into a rural region that was designated a "Disadvantaged Zone" and treme poverty at present is a regional interest.
expropriation	ntion should be made that art. 6 of the Mining Law no. 85/2003 expressly provides as one of the legal methods for a titleholder to acquire the usage right over the lands he performance of the mining activities in the exploitation perimeter.
<i>of immovable</i> p	Law no. 33/1994 on the expropriation for public utility cause provides that " <i>the <u>expropriation</u></i> property [], <u>can be made only for cause of public utility</u> ", and art. 6 of the same law provides causes of public utility: geological exploration and prospecting; extraction and processing of useful <u>acces</u> ".
In conclusion,	the expropriation, in exchange of a fair and prior compensation, made in accordance with

the legal and constitutional provisions, represents one of the modalities of obtaining the right of use over the lands necessary for the development of a mining project, being expressly provided by art. 6 of the Mining Law no. 85/2003 and by art. 6 of Law no. 33/1994.

Domain	. Caller and	RRAP	
MMDD's item no. for the question which includes the observation identified by the RMGC internal code MMDD's identification no. for the question which includes the observation identified by the RMGC internal code RMGC internal unique code		470	
		Arad, 25.08.2006	
		MMGA_1007	
Proposal	How does the	company plan to relocate cemeteries and what exactly will happen with them?	
	Contrary to v graveyards.	what the opponents of the mining project claim, no one wants to destroy churches	
	by the minin	umber of graves in context, 410 graves of the Roșia Montană's 1,905 graves will be affect ng project, as the company has to the maximum extent possible designed the mini leave established graveyards in place.	
	for that grave turned into la themselves liv	s will be affected by the project. In the case of any grave, there must be a very strong reas to be removed. The communities have created during their development initially rules, la aws that deal with this unfortunate event. And yet it is also true that communities a ving entities, and without the RMP – with unemployment rising from 70% today to me refusing to bring new development to Roşia Montană could mean the end of the villag port itself.	
	to the letter	vill be done at the request of the families, and the expense of RMGC. The process will foll Romanian law on reburials [1], with the company's commitment to act with respect a andoned graves will be relocated, also with full respect and reverence, to Piatra Alba's n	
Solution	References:		
	<ul> <li>[1] the reloca</li> <li>(i) Law no. the Rom</li> <li>(ii) Law no. publishe amended</li> <li>(iii) The hyg in the F supplem</li> <li>(iv) GD no. S public se the Rom</li> <li>(v) Order no the crem</li> <li>(vi) Rules for eparchie</li> </ul>	ation of graves and cemeteries is governed by the following regulatory acts: 489/2006 on the freedom of religion and the general regime of religious affairs, published manian Official Gazette, Section I, no. 11/08.01.2007; 98/1994 establishing and sanctioning breaches of the hygiene and public health rul ed in the Romanian Official Gazette, Section I, no. 317/16.11.1994, as subsequen d and supplemented ("Law no. 98/1994'); iene norms and recommendations concerning the population's life environment, publish Romanian Official Gazette, Section I, no. 140/03.07.1997, as subsequently amended a mented ("Order 536/1997"); 955/2004 on the approval of the framework Rules for the organization and operation of t ervices for the administration of the public and private domain of local interest, published manian Official Gazette, Section I, no. 660/22.07.2004; 0. 261/1982 on the approval of the standard Rules for the administration of graveyards a natories of the localities, published in the Official Gazette no. 67/11.03.1983; or the organization and operation of the parish and monastery graveyards within t es of the Romanian Orthodox Church, approved by Decision of the Religious Affa nent no. 16.285/31.12.1981.	

Domain		RRAP
MMDD's item no. for the question which includes the observation identified by the RMGC internal code		484
question which	ification no. for the h includes the lentified by the RMGC	Arad, 25.08.2006
RMGC interna	l unique code	MMGA_1016
Proposal	-	er is in favour of the project and wants to know if RMGC has considered the option people who do not want to leave Rosia Montana to move into the abandoned houses loca otected area.
	Environment Assessment P the results of a <u>proposals/com</u>	the relevant legal provisions, the interested public may submit justified proposals on Impact Assessment. Art. 44 (2) of the Order no. 860/2002 on the Environment Imp Procedure and the issuance of the environmental approval provides to this end that <i>"based</i> the public debate, the relevant authority for the environmental protection evaluates the <u>groun</u> ments of the public and requests the titleholder the supplementation of the report to <u>impact assessment study</u> with an annex containing solutions for the solving of the underla
Solution	of the project	on of the attendant to the public consultations identifies and specifies no problems in reg initiated by RMGC, subject to the environmental impact assessment procedure, RMG n to answer and has not the capacity to make any comments to this end.
		considering RMGC has expressed its full availability to discuss any issues relevant for ject, please note the following:
		ned homes have some chain of ownership. Any individual is free to work with local Romar Rosia Montana to determine who owns an abandoned property with the intent to purch

Domain		RRAP
MMDD's item no. for the question which includes the observation identified by the RMGC internal code		1350, 1351, 1360
question whi	tification no. for the ch includes the dentified by the RMGC	No. 110351/24.08.2006, No. 110350/24.08.2006, No. 110273/24.08.2006
RMGC interna	I unique code	MMGA_1158
Proposal	The questioner does not agree with the development of the Rosia Montana project and makes t following observations and comments: The report should be accompanied by a map showing the current situation of property ownership in Ro Montana;	
Solution	A "current" map of property ownership in Roşia Montană would require almost constant updati Consequently, RMGC issues quarterly reports that indicate the percentage of properties it has acquired the sections of Roşia Montană that will be affected by the mining project. RMGC's quarterly statement are available on our website. See Ownership map.	

Domain		RRAP			
MMDD's item no. for the question which includes the observation identified by the RMGC internal code		1359			
question whic	ification no. for the h includes the entified by the RMGC	No. 110274/24.08.2006			
RMGC internal	unique code	MMGA_1191			
Proposal	The destruction	on of churches and cemeteries in Corna and Rosia Montana.			
	Contrary to v graveyards.	what the opponents of the mining project claim, no one wants to destroy churches or			
	footprint mus with the wish	and two prayer houses out of a total of 10 places of worship located within the project's at be relocated or restored under the mine plan. Those churches will be moved in accordance es of the congregation, at the expense of RMGC. Churches construction is a central element mmunity of Piatra Albă being built by the company.			
	To put the number of graves in context, 410 graves of the Roșia Montană's 1,905 graves will be affected by the mining project, as the company has to the maximum extent possible designed the mining operations to leave established graveyards in place.				
	that grave to turned into la themselves liv	will be affected by the project. In the case of any grave, there must be a very strong reason for be removed. The communities have created during their development initially rules, later aws that deal with this unfortunate event. And yet it is also true that communities are ring entities, and without the RMP – with unemployment rising from 70% today to more refusing to bring new development to Roşia Montană could mean the end of the village's port itself.			
Solution	to the letter	vill be done at the request of the families, and the expense of RMGC. The process will follow Romanian law on reburials [1] with the company's commitment to act with respect and andoned graves will be relocated, also with full respect and reverence, to Piatra Albă's new			
	<ul> <li>(i) Law no. the Rom</li> <li>(ii) Law no. publishe amendee</li> <li>(iii) The hyg in the F supplem</li> <li>(iv) GD no. 9 public se the Rom</li> <li>(v) Order no the crem</li> <li>(vi) Rules for eparchie</li> </ul>	tion of graves and cemeteries is governed by the following regulatory acts: 489/2006 on the freedom of religion and the general regime of religious affairs, published in anian Official Gazette, Section I, no. 11/08.01.2007; 98/1994 establishing and sanctioning breaches of the hygiene and public health rules, d in the Romanian Official Gazette, Section I, no. 317/16.11.1994, as subsequently d and supplemented ("Law no. 98/1994"); iene norms and recommendations concerning the population's life environment, published comanian Official Gazette, Section I, no. 140/03.07.1997, as subsequently amended and ented ("Order 536/1997"); 955/2004 on the approval of the framework Rules for the organization and operation of the ervices for the administration of the public and private domain of local interest, published in anian Official Gazette, Section I, no. 660/22.07.2004; b. 261/1982 on the approval of the standard Rules for the administration of graveyards and natories of the localities, published in the Official Gazette no. 67/11.03.1983; or the organization and operation of the parish and monastery graveyards within the s of the Romanian Orthodox Church, approved by Decision of the Religious Affairs ent no. 16.285/31.12.1981.			

Domain		RRAP
MMDD's item no. for the question which includes the observation identified by the RMGC internal code		1510, 1514
question whic	tification no. for the h includes the lentified by the RMGC	No. 111063/25.08.2006 and No. 75904/04.09.2006, No. 111059/25.08.2006
RMGC interna	l unique code	MMGA_1209
Proposal	Negative socia	l effect through uprooting, relocation of settlements, churches, cemeteries;
	relocation stra	has considered the social impact mitigation as the central element of the resettlement and ategy. For the actual impact of the RMP in this respect, please refer also to the EIA Report, otential Impacts, Subchapter 4.8 - Social and Economic Environment.
	opportunities - small - profe	idual level, the resettlement and relocation were turned into individual development through: I business compensation and financial support; essional training and career development; erties replacement values compensation, including land restoring cost and eventual crop
	- reloc supp At the commu	larship; ation / resettlement assistance for properties search, registration formalities, health care ort, jobs search and training, small savings and investment assistance. unity level, resettlement sites in both rural area ( Piatra Albă – Roșia Montană ) and urban Hill- Alba Iulia ) offering higher living standards.
	development o	provides to future generations not only jobs, but also a cleaner environment, personal opportunities, small enterprise support, and support provided for the development of one of erdeveloped areas of Romania.
Solution	to the letter l	rill be done at the request of the families, and the expense of RMGC. The process will follow Romanian law on reburials [1] with the company's commitment to act with respect and andoned graves will be relocated, also with full respect and reverence, to Piatra Albă's new
	footprint mus with the wishe	and two prayer houses out of a total of 10 places of worship located within the project's t be relocated or restored under the mine plan. Those churches will be moved in accordance es of the congregation, at the expense of RMGC. Churches construction is a central element nmunity of Piatra Albă being built by the company.
	that future – v to proceed – w churches ther countryside. I	P project offers to future generations is a chance to continue a way of life in a village where with 70% unemployment today, rising above 90% if RMGC's proposed mine is not allowed would be very much in doubt. In the event of Roşia Montană's demise, the homes, graves and re would likely be left behind, as in other abandoned mining villages in the Romanian Development of the RMP will keep the village alive – in fact and in spirit – and bring ortunity to the region.
	(i) Law no. the Rom (ii) Law no.	tion of graves and cemeteries is governed by the following regulatory acts: 489/2006 on the freedom of religion and the general regime of religious affairs, published in anian Official Gazette, Section I, no. 11/08.01.2007; 98/1994 establishing and sanctioning breaches of the hygiene and public health rules, d in the Romanian Official Gazette, Section I, no. 317/16.11.1994, as subsequently

amended and supplemented ("Law no. 98/1994');

- (iii) The hygiene norms and recommendations concerning the population's life environment, published in the Romanian Official Gazette, Section I, no. 140/03.07.1997, as subsequently amended and supplemented ("Order 536/1997");
- (iv) GD no. 955/2004 on the approval of the framework Rules for the organization and operation of the public services for the administration of the public and private domain of local interest, published in the Romanian Official Gazette, Section I, no. 660/22.07.2004;
- (v) Order no. 261/1982 on the approval of the standard Rules for the administration of graveyards and the crematories of the localities, published in the Official Gazette no. 67/11.03.1983;
- (vi) Rules for the organization and operation of the parish and monastery graveyards within the eparchies of the Romanian Orthodox Church, approved by Decision of the Religious Affairs Department no. 16.285/31.12.1981.

Domain		RRAP	
MMDD's item no. for the question which includes the observation identified by the RMGC internal		1723, 1870, 1871, 1872, 1873, 2613, 3123, 3124, 3125, 3126, 3127, 3233 No. 110779/25.08.2006, No. 110933/25.08.2006, No. 110932/25.08.2006, No. 110931/25.08.2006, No. 110930/25.08.2006, No. 112385/25.08.2006, No. 112880/25.08.2006, No. 112875/25.08.2006, No. 112868/25.08.2006, No. 112873/25.08.2006, No. 112874/25.08.2006, No. 111448/25.08.2006	
code MMDD's identification no. for the question which includes the observation identified by the RMGC			
internal code RMGC interna	l unique code	MMGA_1217	
Proposal	The massive r	esettlement of the population, churches and cemeteries is intolerable;	
	The company relocation stra	has considered social impact mitigation as the central element of the resettlement ategy. For the actual impact of the RMP in this respect, please refer also to the EIA Rep otential Impacts, Subchapter 4.8 - Social and Economic Environment.	
	opportunities	•	
		l business compensation and financial support;	
	- proplost;	essional training and career development; erties replacement values compensation, including land restoring cost and eventual c	
	- reloc	larship; ation / resettlement assistance for properties search, registration formalities, health ort, jobs search and training, small savings and investment assistance.	
		unity level, resettlement sites in both rural area ( Piatra Albă – Roșia Montană ) and an ur Hill- Alba Iulia ) offering higher living standards.	
Solution	responsible m a responsible environment,	nating this project may not be deemed as antichristian, as long as its main principle is tha nining. We believe that resources development is not an act against God, if it is performe manner. This project provides to future generations not only jobs, but also a clea personal development opportunities, small enterprise support, and support provided for of one of the most underdeveloped areas of Romania.	
	to the letter i	vill be done at the request of the families, and the expense of RMGC. The process will fol Romanian law on reburials [1], with the company's commitment to act with respect andoned graves will be relocated, also with full respect and reverence, to Piatra Albă's r	
	the region's d Montană wou	e most powerful driver of negative social effects is Roșia Montană's 70% unemployment eclining economic conditions. Without the RMGC mining project, unemployment in R ld exceed 90%. These economic circumstances make the long term survival of the villag e of the RMGC mining project—doubtful.	
	Two churches and two prayer houses out of a total of 10 places of worship located within the footprint must be relocated or restored under the mine plan. Those churches will be moved in ac with the wishes of the congregation, at the expense of RMGC. Churches construction is a central in the new community of Piatra Albă being built by the company.		
	(i) Law no. the Rom	tion of graves and cemeteries is governed by the following regulatory acts: 489/2006 on the freedom of religion and the general regime of religious affairs, publishe anian Official Gazette, Section I, no. 11/08.01.2007; 98/1994 establishing and sanctioning breaches of the hygiene and public health ru	

published in the Romanian Official Gazette, Section I, no. 317/16.11.1994, as subsequently amended and supplemented ("Law no. 98/1994');

- (iii) The hygiene norms and recommendations concerning the population's life environment, published in the Romanian Official Gazette, Section I, no. 140/03.07.1997, as subsequently amended and supplemented ("Order 536/1997");
- (iv) GD no. 955/2004 on the approval of the framework Rules for the organization and operation of the public services for the administration of the public and private domain of local interest, published in the Romanian Official Gazette, Section I, no. 660/22.07.2004;
- (v) Order no. 261/1982 on the approval of the standard Rules for the administration of graveyards and the crematories of the localities, published in the Official Gazette no. 67/11.03.1983;
- (vi) Rules for the organization and operation of the parish and monastery graveyards within the eparchies of the Romanian Orthodox Church, approved by Decision of the Religious Affairs Department no. 16.285/31.12.1981.

Domain		RRAP
MMDD's item no. for the question which includes the observation identified by the RMGC internal code		1922
MMDD's identification no. for the question which includes the observation identified by the RMGC internal code		No. 110902/25.08.2006
RMGC internal u	nique code	MMGA_1252
Proposal	Also in area th	ere are orthodox and Greek-Roman churches which are already protected by legislation
Solution	Prior to the 2006 submission of RMGC's EIA, the project was redesigned to keep the Greek Orthodox Church, which carries historical structure status, outside the mining area. This indicates how the stakeholder process has initiated design changes to take into account community consensus. This church, and the Roman-Catholic Church located within the protected area Roşia Montană Historical Centre, is the only two buildings of this kind which are protected by legislation.	

Domain		RRAP
MMDD's item no. for the question which includes the observation identified by the RMGC internal code		2795, 2796, 2797, 2798, 2799, 2800, 2801, 2802, 2803, 2804, 2805, 2806
	tification no. for the h includes the	No.111857/25.08.2006, No.111863/25.08.2006, No.111864/25.08.2006, No.111865/25.08.2006, No.111866/25.08.2006, No.111866/25.08.2006, No.111867/25.08.2006,
observation ic	lentified by the RMGC	No.111759/25.08.2006, No.111758/25.08.2006, No.111757/25.08.2006,
internal code		No.112163/25.08.2006, No.112164/25.08.2006, No.112165/25.08.2006
Proposal	l unique code Within EIA, th	MMGA_1261 here is no a study regarding the resettlement and relocation;
Solution	The EIA guidelines (Order no. 863/2002 – Annex II - Methodological Guide of the screening stage and completion of the report to the assessment study – Part II - The structure of the report to the environmental impact assessment study) do not require the inclusion of the Resettlement and Relocation Action Plan (RRAP). However, the RRAP (which follows World Bank Guidelines) is available on the RMG website. Throughout the public consultation process, RMGC encouraged interested parties to access the RRAP via the website.	

Domain		RRAP
which include	no. for the question as the observation the RMGC internal	3020
question which	tification no. for the ch includes the dentified by the RMGC	No. 112893/25.08.2006
RMGC interna	l unique code	MMGA_1304
Proposal	The project in	mplies the resettlement of a large part of the local population
	Montană Pro most part, th project. For t Potential Imp In order to a compliant wi on the princ packages for	resettlement question in its larger context, the construction and operation of the Roşia oject requires the acquisition of properties in four of Roşia Montană's 16 sub-comuna. For the nerefore, property ownership in the larger part of Roşia Montană will not be affected by the che actual impact of the RMP in this respect, please refer also to the EIA Report, Chapter 4 – pacts, Subchapter 4.8 - Social and Economic Environment.
Solution	website. As the minin Accordingly,	as detailed in the RRAP developed by RMGC, which may be found on company's official ng project proceeds in phases, it is not necessary to acquire all properties at the outset. the company has focused on properties required for the construction and operation of the rst five years. To date, more than 56% of the properties needed to construct the project and
	operate the n	nine for the first five years have been acquired.
	a step that in	perties needed but not yet acquired, 98% have been presented for surveying by their owners – nplies an interest in selling the property to the company. The survey rate suggests that little handful of properties are held by people who might prove unwilling to entertain a sale.
	For the near	l number, some will lie in areas not needed for construction and early operation of the mine. -term, therefore, owners of these properties need not prove any impediment to the mine , and they can continue to live as they wish.
	operation of	smaller number of homes that are located in areas in which the construction and early the mine will take place, the company will seek options to redesign the mine plan to allow to retain their property, unaffected by the mine.

Domain	RRAP
MMDD's item no. for the question which includes the observation identified by the RMGC internal code	3029
MMDD's identification no. for the question which includes the observation identified by the RMGC internal code	No. 111761/25.08.2006
RMGC internal unique code	MMGA_1338
Proposal The relocation	of population, churches and cemeteries represents a social and spiritual genocide;
relocation stra	has considered social impact mitigation as the central element of the resettlement and tegy. For the actual impact of the RMP in this respect, please refer also to the EIA Report, stential Impacts, Subchapter 4.8 - Social and Economical Environment.
opportunities of small profe prope lost; schola reloca support At the communic one (Furcilor F The idea animative responsible mit a responsible mit a responsible mit a responsible mit a responsible environment, p development of All reburials wit to the letter F reverence. Aba cemetery. Currently, the the region's de Montană woul in the absence Two churches footprint must with the wishe in the new com References: [1] the reloca (i) Law no. 4 the Romatic	<ul> <li>dual level, the resettlement and relocation were turned into individual development through:</li> <li>business compensation and financial support;</li> <li>ssional training and career development;</li> <li>rrties replacement values compensation, including land restoring cost and eventual crop arship;</li> <li>titon/resettlement assistance for properties search, registration formalities, health care ort, jobs search and training, small savings and investment assistance.</li> <li>nity level, resettlement sites in both rural area (Piatra Albā – Roşia Montanā ) and an urban till -Alba Iulia ) offering higher living standards.</li> <li>ating this project may not be deemed as antichristian, as long as its main principle is that of ning. We believe that resources development is not an act against God, if it is performed in manner. This project provides to future generations not only jobs, but also a cleaner personal development opportunities, small enterprise support, and support provided for the of one of the most underdeveloped areas of Romania.</li> <li>ill be done at the request of the families, and the expense of RMGC. The process will follow Romanian law on reburials [1], with the company's commitment to act with respect and indicated graves will be relocated, also with full respect and reverence, to Piatra Alba's new</li> <li>most powerful driver of negative social effects is Roşia Montană's 70% unemployment and eclining economic conditions. Without the RMGC mining project, unemployment in Roşia de exceed 90%. These economic circumstances make the long term survival of the village— of the RMGC mining project, unemployment in Roşia de exceed 90%. These economic circumstances make the long term survival of the village— of the RMGC mining project—doubtful.</li> <li>and two prayer houses out of a total of 10 places of worship located within the project's to relocated or restored under the mine plan. Those churches will be moved in accordance is of the congregation, at the expense of RMGC. Churches construc</li></ul>

published in the Romanian Official Gazette, Section I, no. 317/16.11.1994, as subsequently amended and supplemented ("Law no. 98/1994');

- (iii) The hygiene norms and recommendations concerning the population's life environment, published in the Romanian Official Gazette, Section I, no. 140/03.07.1997, as subsequently amended and supplemented ("Order 536/1997");
- (iv) GD no. 955/2004 on the approval of the framework Rules for the organization and operation of the public services for the administration of the public and private domain of local interest, published in the Romanian Official Gazette, Section I, no. 660/22.07.2004;
- (v) Order no. 261/1982 on the approval of the standard Rules for the administration of graveyards and the crematories of the localities, published in the Official Gazette no. 67/11.03.1983;
- (vi) Rules for the organization and operation of the parish and monastery graveyards within the eparchies of the Romanian Orthodox Church, approved by Decision of the Religious Affairs Department no. 16.285/31.12.1981.

Domain		RRAP
which include	no. for the question is the observation the RMGC internal	3115
question which	tification no. for the h includes the Jentified by the RMGC	No. 112129/25.08.2006
RMGC interna	l unique code	MMGA_1390
Proposal	The human r resettlements	ights within the communities from Rosia Montana are infringed.(reference to the forced )
	plans, many o projects imple does not repr should be asce In considerati Montană, the Standards. Du	Ink has financed more than 500 projects during the last 10 years that required resettlement of them on a much larger scale than in Roşia Montană. Resettlement is not unusual for large ementation and when carried in accordance with the applicable rules and legal enactments, esent <i>per se</i> an infringement of any human right. As a general rule, infringements of rights ertained by a final and binding decision awarded by competent courts of law. ion of concerns in relation to human rights and out of respect for the residents of Roşia e company's RRAP is based on voluntary sale of property, designed under World Bank uring the implementation of this management plan, the public consultation and disclosure is key instrument in identifying the right solutions and directions.
Solution	Montană Proj	ssue of resettlement in its larger context, the construction and operation of the Roșia lect requires the acquisition of properties in four of Roșia Montană's 16 sub-comuna. For the erefore, property ownership in the larger part of Roșia Montană will not be affected by the
		equire the necessary properties, the company has established a property purchase program h the RRAP guidelines developed by the World Bank.
	a step that im	perties needed but not yet acquired, 98% have been presented for surveying by their owners – aplies an interest in selling the property to the company. The survey rate suggests that little andful of properties are held by people who might prove unwilling to entertain a sale.

Domain		RRAP
MMDD's item no which includes t identified by the code		3135
question which	cation no. for the includes the ntified by the RMGC	No. 112122/25.08.2006
RMGC internal u	nique code	MMGA_1405
Proposal	The property i	right is fragrantly encroached
Solution	<ul> <li>According to the relevant legal provisions, the interested public may submit justified propenvironment impact assessment. Art. 44 (3) of the Order no. 860/2002 on the Environm Assessment Procedure and the issuance of the environmental approval provides to this end the the results of the public debate, the relevant authority for the environmental protection evaluates is proposals/comments of the public and requests the titleholder the supplementation of the reminonmental impact assessment study with an annex containing solutions for the solving of the issues".</li> <li>As the statement of the attendant to the public consultations (i) does not contain any specific on the alleged facts, and (ii) identifies and specifies no problems in regard of the project RMGC, subject to the environmental impact assessment procedure, RMGC is not in positio and has not the capacity to make any comments to this end.</li> <li>Nonetheless, considering RMGC has expressed its full availability to discuss any issues releptroposed project, please note the following:</li> <li>RMGC is in complete compliance with all legal provisions associated with the acquisition of Roşia Montană. In this respect, RMGC observes the legal methods for a titleholder to acquiright over the lands necessary for mining projects development, covering both public and priv as provided by art. 6 of the Mining Law no. 85/2003: (i) sale-purchase, for the price agreed the convention between the parties; (iii) renting of the land for undetermined period agreements between the parties; (iii) land concession, etc.</li> <li>The company's RRAP is based on voluntary sale of property, designed under World Bank Stand Of the small number of homes that are located in areas in which the construction and early of the small number of homes that are located in areas in which the construction and early of the small number of homes that are located in areas in which the construction and early of the small number of homes that are located in areas in which the construction an</li></ul>	
		take place, the company will seek options to redesign the mine plan to allow those owners to operty, unaffected by the mine.

Domain		RRAP	
MMDD's item no. for the question which includes the observation identified by the RMGC internal code		3227	
MMDD's identification no. for the question which includes the observation identified by the RMGC internal code		No. 111146/25.08.2006	
RMGC internal	unique code	MMGA_1408	
Proposal	cemeteries fro Synod of the	ccount the fact that the Rosia Montana mining project aims to resettle the churches and om area what is inadmissible from orthodox culture and tradition point of view, the Holly Romanian Orthodox Church pronounces against the Rosia Montana Gold Corporation opes that this area will remain intact in its holiness, purity and beauty.	
	the Company.	It made by Holy Synod in 2003 is very important for all the past, present or future actions of . In the last years the mining project was redesigned for many times in order to mitigate the ally for churches and graves.	
	attitude and p and for its b	ment is also very important because it gives us the opportunity to state more accurately our principles: The Company is committed to developing the mining project with the community penefit, and in the same time with respect for its values. We believe that economic should not come into conflict with spirituality and traditional values.	
Solution		does not imply offering economic benefits (jobs, high living standards, etc.) in exchange of ving up its core, spiritual values.	
	Christian trad	Mining itself is one of the core values of this community, being present in Roșia Montană along with the Christian traditions for thousand years. The symbols still present in Roșia Montană community's life are the mining symbols on the funeral crosses, on houses, Sainte Varvara, still seen as the holly protector of the miners.	
	- /	does not intend to destroy churches, monuments or graveyards – our main concern is to mpacts generated by the proposed mining project.	

Domain		RRAP	
MMDD's item no. for the question which includes the observation identified by the RMGC internal code		3245	
MMDD's identification no. for the question which includes the observation identified by the RMGC internal code		No. 111115/25.08.2006	
RMGC interna	l unique code	MMGA_1434	
Proposal	± ,	will affect the properties of 2000 persons, 730 houses, 138 apartments, 16,000 ha of and, remarkable Roman vestiges, several churches and 9 ancient cemeteries	
Solution	provides for th - num - 1,25 The construct of Roșia Mon	s stated by the questioner are inaccurate, as the urbanism certificate no. 78/26.04.2006 he following details in respect of the PMP area: ber of houses 489, 126 apartments; 8 hectares of various land usage categories land. cion and operation of the Roșia Montană Project requires the acquisition of properties in four tană's 16 sub-comuna. For the most part, therefore, property ownership in the larger part of nă will not be affected by the project.	
	In order to ac	cquire the necessary properties, the company has established a property purchase program th the RRAP guidelines developed by the World Bank.	

Domain		RRAP	
MMDD's item no. for the question which includes the observation identified by the RMGC internal code		50	
MMDD's identification no. for the question which includes the observation identified by the RMGC internal code		No. 114888/05.10.2006	
RMGC internal unique code		MMGA_1494	
Proposal	Demolition and	d relocation of half a living and dead population from Rosia Montana;	
		al that large projects need elements of resettlement and relocation. Think only of harbors, rays, hydro-power generation units, mines.	
	the custodians	Ik has developed what is considered the standard of this process which is followed closely by of these large investments. The focus is on the impacts mitigation measures designed and the implementation of the projects, for and with the communities.	
	impacted by t Thorough mor	a plans and measures secure a smooth transition to the new location of the community he project and could easily cover a long period of time after the resettlement is done. hitoring and evaluation processes carried on indicate the corrective or improvement actions itigation plans.	
	requested by t	me opposition outside the area, in Roșia Montană the project is largely accepted and he community and is seen at regional level as the only viable solution for the difficulties in o generate a wide range of individual and collective benefits.	
	Contrary to wh of Roșia Monta	nat the opponents of the mining project claim, no one wants to destroy homes or graveyards ană.	
		purchase program established by the company has been designed according to World Bank l is based on a "willing seller, willing buyer" model.	
Solution	affected by the	mber of graves in context, the vast majority of Roșia Montană's 1,905 graves will not be e mining project (410 graves to move), as the company has to the maximum extent possible nining operations to leave established graveyards in place.	
	All reburials will be done at the request of the families, and the expense of RMGC. The process will follow to the letter Romanian law on reburials [1], with the company's commitment to act with respect and reverence. Abandoned graves will be relocated, also with full respect and reverence, to Piatra Albă's new cemetery, for which 13 hectares have been set aside.		
	that future – v to proceed – v churches there countryside. D	<sup>9</sup> project offers to future generations is a chance to continue a way of life in a village where vith 70% unemployment today, rising above 90% if RMGC's proposed mine is not allowed would be very much in doubt. In the event of Roşia Montană's demise, the graves and e would likely be left behind, as in other abandoned mining villages in the Romanian Development of the RMP will keep the village alive – in fact and in spirit – and bring portunity to the region.	
	(i) Law no. 4 the Roma	ion of graves and cemeteries is governed by the following regulatory acts: 189/2006 on the freedom of religion and the general regime of religious affairs, published in anian Official Gazette, Section I, no. 11/08.01.2007; 98/1994 establishing and sanctioning breaches of the hygiene and public health rules	

 (ii) Law no. 98/1994 establishing and sanctioning breaches of the hygiene and public health rules, published in the Romanian Official Gazette, Section I, no. 317/16.11.1994, as subsequently amended and supplemented ("Law no. 98/1994');

- (iii) The hygiene norms and recommendations concerning the population's life environment, published in the Romanian Official Gazette, Section I, no. 140/03.07.1997, as subsequently amended and supplemented ("Order 536/1997");
- (iv) GD no. 955/2004 on the approval of the framework Rules for the organization and operation of the public services for the administration of the public and private domain of local interest, published in the Romanian Official Gazette, Section I, no. 660/22.07.2004;
- (v) Order no. 261/1982 on the approval of the standard Rules for the administration of graveyards and the crematories of the localities, published in the Official Gazette no. 67/11.03.1983;
- (vi) Rules for the organization and operation of the parish and monastery graveyards within the eparchies of the Romanian Orthodox Church, approved by Decision of the Religious Affairs Department no. 16.285/31.12.1981.